Trump Tackles Congress / Germany's Far-Right Threat

Newseek

17.03.2017

IS NORTH KOREA'S KIM JONG UN OUT OF CONTROL?

BY BILL POWELI





14-16 MARCH 2017 OLYMPIA, LONDON

TAKING WORDS FURTHER CONTENT ACROSS MEDIA





Global Event

IN THE CREATIVE CAPITAL OF THE WORLD

The London Book Fair is the global marketplace for rights negotiation and the sale and distribution of content across print, audio, TV, film and digital channels. We believe LBF is the place to inform your thinking and make the contacts that will move your business forward.

LBF will return to Olympia, in the heart of West London, from 14-16 March 2017 and is ideally located with a variety of transport links to Central London and beyond.

We look forward to meeting you there.



BOOK YOUR TICKET TODAY



www.londonbookfair.co.uk













RUSSELL LEE/THE CROWLEY COMPANY/LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Newsweek

MARCH 17, 2017 / VOL.168 / NO.10 INTERNATIONAL



FEATURES



22 Mad Rush

Is the world running out of time to contain North Korea's Kim Jong Un? by Bill Powell

28 The Overground Railroad

A travel guide from the Jim Crow era often meant the difference between a hot meal and a vicious beating. by Alexander Nazaryan

38 Springtime for Petry

As her right-wing party rises in the German opinion polls, is Frauke Petry the most dangerous nationalist in Europe? by Yardena Schwartz

COVER CREDIT: PHOTOGRAPH BY KENAY/REUTERS

Newsweek (ISSN2052-1081), is published weekly except one week in January, July, August and October. Newsweek (EMEA) is published by Newsweek Ltd (part of the IBT Media Group Ltd), 25 Canada Squary Wharf, London E14 5LQ, UK. Printed by Quad/Graphics Europe Sp z o.o., Wyszkow, Poland For Article Reprints, Permissions and Licensing www.IBTreprints.com/Newsweek

DEPARTMENTS



BIG SHOTS

- 4 Mosul, Iraq Baring Arms
- 6 **Lyon, France** Le Pen Quotidian?
- 8 **Ofra, West Bank** Dragging Their Feet
- 10 **Arbin, Syria** Gray Gardens

PAGE ONE

- 12 **Trump** Deal With It
- 16 Cartels Wall of Lies
- 18 **Arctic** Putin on Ice

BAD TRIP: People wait at a streetcar terminal in Oklahoma in 1939. Victor Hugo Green wanted to treat his African-American readers like ordinary travelers, but the raison d'être of his book was that they were anything but.

NEW WORLD

- 44 **Tires**The Re-Tiring Sort
- 46 **Uber** Running Red Lights
- 49 **Health** They Know Why You're Sad...
- 50 **Pollution**Toxic Underground

WEEKEND

- 54 The Place to Be TEFAF, Maastricht
- 56 **Interview** Marin Minamiya
- 59 **The Buyer, The Taster**Bibi van der Velden,
 Enigma, Barcelona
- 60 **Books** Erik Madigan Heck, Viet Thanh Nguyen
- 62 Screening Room, Radar Kong: Skull Island, Room 29
- 64 Parting Shot Cannes, 1956

FOR MORE HEADLINES, GO TO **NEWSWEEK.COM**



GLOBAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF **Matt McAllester**

DEPUTY EDITOR **Bob Roe**

MANAGING EDITOR Kenneth Li

FOREIGN EDITOR Claudia Parsons

OPINION EDITOR Nicholas Wapshott

INTERNATIONAL EDITION

EDITORIAL /

EXECUTIVE EDITOR Naina Bajekal

ART DIRECTOR Corey Jackson

CULTURE EDITOR Isabel Lloyd

ASSOCIATE EDITOR Eliza Gray

CONTRIBUTING EDITORS Owen Matthews

Max Fraser Tom Shone

Matt Cooper Matthew Sweet

Chelsea Hassler R.M. Schneiderman

Joanna Brenner Nicholas Loffredo

John Seeley Teri Wagner Flynn

NEWS EDITOR Graham Smith

SENIOR EDITOR Siobhán Morrin

SOCIAL MEDIA PRODUCER Valeriia Voshchevska

VIDEO PRODUCER Jordan Saville

PICTURE EDITOR Michael Radford

REPORTERS Tufayel Ahmed

Anthony Cuthbertson Teddy Cutler

Conor Gaffey Mirren Gidda

Josh Lowe Jack Moore

Tom Roddy Eleanor Ross

Damien Sharkov

TRAVELEDITOR Graham Boynton

SPECIAL CORRESPONDENTS Nicholas Foulkes

Adam LeBor

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS /

Ryan Bort

Leah McGrath Goodman

Nina Burleigh Alexander Nazaryan

Emily Cadei Bill Powell

Janine Di Giovanni Josh Saul

Kurt Eichenwald Roberto Saviano

Jessica Firger Zach Schonfeld

Michele Gorman **Jeff Stein**

Abigail Jones John Walters

Max Kutner Lucy Westcott

Douglas Main Stav Ziv PUBLISHED BY Newsweek LTD, A DIVISION OF **IBT Media** Group LTD

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Dev Pragad

PRESIDENT

Alan Press

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER **Gregory Witham**

GENERAL MANAGER

Dave Martin

GENERAL COUNSEL

Rosie McKimmie

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Amit Shah

DIRECTOR OF COMMUNICATIONS

Mark Lappin

HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGER

Rob Turner

ADVERTISING

COMMERCIAL DIRECTOR

Jeremy Makin

SENIOR SALES DIRECTOR

Chantal Mamboury

DIRECTORS, IBTAILORED

Pamela Ferrari,

Richard Remington

GROUP ADVERTISING DIRECTOR **Una Reynolds**

SALES MANAGER

Chris Maundrell

MARKETING + CIRCULATION

SUBSCRIPTION MARKETING MANAGER

Tom Nichols

HEAD OF SUBSCRIPTION OPERATIONS

Samantha Rhodes

NEWSSTAND MANAGER

Kim Sermon

Newsweek International



2 EASY WAYS TO SUBSCRIBE





+44 203 040 4383

SUBSCRIBER ONLY BENEFITS:

- **Save money** on the shop prices
- Get the magazine delivered to you every week
- Instant access to the app download issues and read offline on any device
- Unlimited website access
- Free daily Newsletter
- **Free** weekly Podcast

We will charge you €12 and then after 12 weeks we will charge you €39 / £39 / \$59 every quarter (3 months) based on the print and digital package. You will not be notified in advance of upcoming renewals. Please see our policies on cancellations and Refunds below for information about cancelling. Should you wish to cancel your subscription it will be cancelled on expiry of the current term. For full terms, visit http://europe.newsweek.com/terms-service







FRANCE

Le Pen Quotidien?

Lyon, France—Marine Le Pen, the head of France's far-right National Front party and a leading candidate in this year's presidential election, holds a rally on February 26. Some have compared her to Donald Trump because of her populist stances on immigra-tion and globaliza-tion. Polls suggest Le Pen and centrist candidate Emmanuel Macron will advance to a runoff in May. The prospect of a Le Pen presidency has alarmed a number of French politicians, including President François Hollande, who said his "ultimate duty" is to make sure she doesn't win. -----

Ô

JEFF J MITCHELL







WEST BANK

Dragging Their Feet

Ofra, West Bank-Protesters appeared by the hundreds, standing on the rooftops and blocking the streets, after an Israeli court ruled that eight homes in this Jewish settlement had been built on Palestinian land and needed to be evacuated. The families agreed to leave after speaking to the authorities, but the protesters—like this one being dragged by a border policeman on February 28—con-tinued to demonstrate against the move, forming circles and singing religious songs over loudspeak-ers. Weeks before, similar protests turned violent near Ramallah, as settlers threw rocks and set fires to stop Israeli security forces.

Ô

RONEN ZVULUN








SYRIA

Gray Gardens

Arbin, Syria—A man waters herbs on his roof in this rebel-held town in the Eastern Ghouta region, near Damascus, on March 3. It's been six years since Syria's civil war began—a conflict that started as a series of nonviolent protests but quickly morphed into an international crisis that's killed hundreds of thousands and left much of the country in ruins. Recently, Amnesty International reported that forces loyal to President Bashar al-Assad hung thousands of people after a series of quick sham trials. Assad has long said his soldiers treat detainees lawfully, but the report offered more evidence of mass killings by the regime.

Ô

AMER ALMOHIBANY







DEAL WITH IT

President Trump has spent his first month issuing executive orders. Now comes the hard part: working with Congress


IN 1987, Donald Trump helped create his image as a master negotiator with his best-selling book, *The Art of the Deal.* Never mind that his ghost-writer, Tony Schwartz, says *he* wrote virtually all of it. Either way, the book includes an important lesson: Not every deal goes well. "I never get too attached to one deal or one approach," Trump (and Schwartz) writes. "For starters, I keep a lot of balls in the air, because most deals fall out, no matter how promising they seem at first."

Trump will soon be juggling as fast as he can. He and his White House team will be working on multiple deals, from defense spending to health care. And they will be sitting across the table from not one party but 535 independent actors—100 members of the Senate and 435 members of the House of Representatives. Trump often plays the Rolling Stones' "You Can't Always Get What You Want" at his rallies, and when it comes to Congress, the song is fitting.

Even though Republicans hold majorities in both chambers, Trump's path to getting what he wants is strewn with hazards. Senate rules allow the minority party—in this case, the Democrats—to thwart lots of deals with just 40 votes, so Trump will need to reach out to the likes of Chuck Schumer, the Senate minority leader and fellow New Yorker, who is under enormous pressure from progressives to resist every Trump entreaty. Much of the president's agenda won't please Republicans either; they aren't even fond of his massive budget cuts. But the biggest obstacle Trump faces is math: His cuts need to pay for his massive tax reductions and defense spending—and that won't be easy.

So far, all that's come out of the White House is a broad outline. In March, the administration will release a slightly more detailed budget plan to Congress. But from where things stand, here's the likelihood of Trump getting the deals he desires.





BACK TO THE SHOWERS: Even though Republicans control both the House and Senate, Trump will have a hard time delivering on some of his campaign promises.



'REPEAL AND REPLACE OBAMACARE'

As a candidate, Trump regularly said he'd replace the Affordable Care Act—with "something so much better." Of course, doing so is proving, "incredibly complicated," as Trump recently put it. No kidding. The House will spend much of March considering the first tranche of legislation to change the U.S. health care system, which has already drawn opposition from conservative House Republicans who believe the approach is too gradual—as well as Democrats, and even some Republicans, who think it's too abrupt. A centrist proposal from two Senate Republicans—Bill Cassidy of Louisiana, a physician, and Susan Collins of Maine—would effectively allow states to keep large portions of the ACA,

to keep large portions of the ACA, but their bill is too moderate for the GOP-controlled House. Obamacare is likely to be picked at but not unwound, leaving what Trump calls a "disaster" very much in place.

'REBUILD OUR MILITARY'

Throughout the campaign, Trump promised to rebuild the "decimated" U.S. military. Never mind that the U.S. spends more on defense than the next 11 highest-spending countries

combined, or that America has overwhelming technological advantages. Still, Trump is likely to get a sizable hike in the defense budget. Military spending is popular in Congress, in part because the defense industry is spread out all over the country.

'WE'RE GOING TO CUT TAXES'

If there's one area where Trump has been a traditional Republican, it's cutting taxes. The president has vowed to cut personal income taxes—which would offer the greatest benefits to the wealthiest Americans. He has also vowed to cut business taxes. Can he succeed? When it comes to the latter, he has a good chance. Democrats and Republicans disagree on specific deductions, but there's been bipartisan agreement that U.S. business tax rates are too high and are causing too many American companies to leave their profits overseas. While Trump is planning to pro-

pose a massive tax bill that will include business and personal taxes, no such reform has made it through Congress since 1986. What's likely to happen: Congress will pass a bill that meets the least political resistance—one that cuts business taxes but offers smaller changes on the personal side, such as creating new tax deductions for child care and health savings accounts. It's not the tax nirvana of Republican dreams, but it's likely to be a solid win.

'WASTE LIKE YOU WOULDN'T BELIEVE'

Programs for the poor have the weakest constituency, so look for cuts to Medicaid, the health care program for the indigent that Congress expanded under Obamacare, as well as the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, also known as food stamps. Trump hasn't unveiled specific cuts, but he's likely to get what he seeks. He could have a harder time with programs that have a wealthier constituency, like the Corporation for Public Broadcasting, the National Endowment for the Arts, the National Endowment for the Humanities and others conservatives have long eyed for

OBAMACARE IS LIKELY TO BE PICKED AT BUT NOT UNWOUND, LEAVING WHAT TRUMP CALLS A "DISASTER" VERY MUCH IN PLACE.

slashing. Despite his "America first" rhetoric, Trump will also have a harder time cutting budgets at the State Department and the Agency for International Development because the military, including Defense Secretary Jim Mattis, tends to support them. The Pentagon brass believes such soft power complements military strength, and Mattis will likely push for preserving it.

'RUSSIA IS FAKE NEWS'

Trump doesn't have any legal say over how Congress chooses to investigate Moscow's interference in the 2016 election. But the president has made it clear he doesn't think there's a scandal. He defended Sessions's former National Security Adviser Mike Flynn's December discussions with the Russian ambassador to the U.S., Sergey Kislyak. The president has also defended Attorney General Jeff Sessions's meetings with the same ambassador during the campaign. Trump

OBAMACARE-LESS:
Collins and another
Republican senator
have proposed
a compromise
fix for the ACA,
but it's probably
too moderate
for the House.



is likely to stymie an exhaustive inquiry from Congress or the Department of Justice, and despite the pressure on Congress to establish a special select investigative committee or an independent, 9/11-style commission, Republican leaders are holding firm. The eventual probe is likely to be a much more limited inquiry from the intelligence committees in both houses. That benefits Trump because such a hearing would be largely secret and spare the president a lengthy public examination that might distract

from his legislative agenda or uncover malfeasance. And while Sessions has recused himself from dealing with the Russian matter, the Justice Department is unlikely to appoint a special prosecutor along the lines of Kenneth Starr, who led the Whitewater crusade against Bill Clinton. That would be a victory for Trump.

And on every front, count on the president to do whatever he can to win. As he (and Schwartz) writes in *The Art of the Deal*: "I play to people's fantasies. [A] little hyperbole never hurts."



WALL OF LIES

Why a barrier along the Mexican border won't stop the drug cartels

IN EVERY place and every era—from ancient China to East Germany—walls have created fractures, divisions, injustice. So when a politician announces the construction of a wall, the first question we should ask is: What is its purpose? And then: Will it make things better or worse?

On February 28, in his first speech to Congress, President Donald Trump affirmed his commitment to dismantling the criminal cartels that have spread drugs across the United States. He reiterated his commitment to the "great wall" along the Mexican border as a fundamental pillar of his strategy, guaranteeing that the wall will be "a very effective weapon against drugs and crime."

It's not the first time Trump has marketed

his wall as an anti-narco measure. And it won't be the last. However, anyone familiar with the power of the Mexican cartels knows this is a lie. The barrier, which already covers one-third of the border with Mexico, has not stopped the drugs or the cartels, and closing the gaps won't change that. More effective and less expensive measures exist to combat the narcos, from better anti-money-laundering rules to legalizing drugs and thus tackling the dealers' lifeblood. But a wall is symbolic, and it sends a message: We are working for you, and we are doing it concretely. You can see it, brick by brick.

The wall that now stands between the United States and Mexico was a bipartisan project,

BY
ROBERTO SAVIANO

***Orobertosaviano**

AVID MCNEW/GETTY

LAW AND BORDER:
Despite Donald
Trump's claims to
the contrary, a finished barrier across
the Mexico border
won't stop the flow
of drugs and dirty
money into the
United States.

created over time by both Republicans and Democrats to reassure a frightened electorate. It was meant to prevent the flow of Latin Americans who want to illegally cross the border, and to stop the drug trafficking organizations across the border—both the South American producers and the Mexican distributors—that peddle their products and launder money.

Despite the wall, the Mexican cartels have long managed to get drugs into the U.S. by a variety of means: catapults, hang gliders, underground tunnels, even submarines. And drugs from Mexico often still enter the United States through official border crossings: With more than half a million people entering from Mexico into the U.S. every day, it's impossible to check every car, motorcycle, truck and tour bus.

Narcos often attach coke to the underside of vehicles that have permits to cross the border in a special lane; the best couriers are those who don't even know they're smuggling. They are even able to hide the odor of cocaine—and fool drug dogs—by adding coffee or cayenne pepper to the outside of the packages. Once the vehicle makes it to the other side of the border, they find ways to retrieve the drugs. As long as the U.S. remains the world's

largest consumer of coke, and as long as Mexico continues to provide it, no wall will ever be able to stop the trade.

So far, the wall hasn't even stopped the flow of undocumented immigrants who attempt to cross the border, risking their lives in the desert and enriching the human traffickers who are controlled by the cartels. These migrants are crossing in search of honest work, of a better life for their families. Some are even fleeing the violence caused by the drug war.

Contrary to Trump's racist innuendo, they are not cartel members. But the wall has allowed the narcos to exploit some of them: If a migrant doesn't have the \$1,500 to \$2,000 required to pay a coyote, he can eliminate his debt by putting cocaine in his bag before crossing the border.

The wall hasn't stopped laundered cartel money either. A 2012 study by two Colombian economists, Alejandro Gaviria and Daniel Mejía, revealed that 97.4 percent of drug trafficking revenue in Colombia is laundered by American and European banking networks through diverse financial operations.

The case of Wachovia is a glaring example. Between 2004 and 2007, several million dollars passed from the coffers of the Sinaloa cartel into the bank accounts of Wachovia through *casas de cambio* (currency exchange offices). These



Mexican offices would receive the cash, open accounts managed by a Wachovia branch in Miami and electronically transfer the money into accounts in the U.S. Wachovia didn't respect the anti-money-laundering protocol in the transfer of more than \$378 billion. Of that enormous sum, at least \$110 million came from drug trafficking and ended up in international banking networks. In 2010, Wachovia negotiated a \$160 million settlement (\$110 million in forfeiture plus a \$50 million fine) with federal authorities. But that figure is paltry compared with the bank's earnings.

Everyone—the narcos, the banks, the casas de cambio—profited despite the wall because smugglers no longer cross borders with suitcases of cash. Now money is moved directly from Mexico with a click. Yet recently the Clearing House, an

A WALL SENDS A MESSAGE: WE ARE WORKING FOR YOU, AND WE ARE DOING IT CONCRETELY. YOU CAN SEE IT, BRICK BY BRICK.

association that represents the largest American banks, announced it plans to propose a new system of anti-money-laundering rules to the government. This system would reduce the current requirements (which include the completion of a report on every transaction that could be criminal), thus lightening the bureaucratic and financial load that banks bear in order to respect the current anti-money-laundering protocols.

"What we are witnessing today is the renewal of the American spirit," Trump said in his speech to Congress. But a wall is not part of that spirit; it's part of a darker, more cynical tradition, one not in line with those inalienable rights cited in the Declaration of Independence: life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. Rights that the Founding Fathers recognized for all men, not only Americans.



PUTIN ON ICE

Why Russia is way ahead in the race to control the Arctic

IN OCTOBER 2014, the *Yamal*, a Russian nuclear icebreaker with enormous shark teeth painted on its bow, rammed through the thick ice at the North Pole as a research vessel followed behind it, firing its seismic guns. Its multiyear mission: find oil and natural gas and help claim the Arctic sea bottom in Moscow's name. In January, as Russian scientists were finalizing the test results, one of the mission's leaders was elated as he stood before a rapt audience in Tromsø, a stunningly beautiful Arctic city in Norway. "We assure you, there is oil there," said Gennady Ivanov of Russia's Marine Arctic Geological Expedition. "And the oil is recoverable," he noted later, in response to a question.

U.S. and European oil companies have long fantasized about tapping the Arctic's abundant reserves; the U.S. Geological Survey estimates they make up to 13 percent of the world's undiscovered oil and 30 percent of its natural gas. Now, as rising temperatures cause more ice to melt, which is clearing Arctic seas, the trilliondollar race to own the region's riches is on. In 2012, Russia tried to claim 460,000 square miles of Arctic ocean floor—an area the size of France and Spain-as national territory. Moscow did so as part of a treaty called the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, which allows countries to expand the undersea area where they own mineral rights beyond the currently recognized 200-mile limit.

The catch? Russia must prove to a committee of international scientists based at the United Nations that the area is an extension of its

continental shelf. The committee initially rejected Moscow's claim, sending Russian scientists back to the High North to search for more evidence. Ivanov now insists the proof exists. If he's right, it will help Russian President Vladimir Putin achieve two of his primary Arctic goals: to boost his country's massive oil and natural gas reserves and to encourage commercial shipping through an Arctic shortcut between European and Asian ports.

Even as Moscow waits for the science committee's decision about the North Pole, its Arctic energy push is yielding results. Russian land-based oil and natural gas production in the Arctic is peaking year after year. In January, Russia's state-controlled Gazprom Neft company announced that four oil wells are up and running at the Prirazlomnoye fields in the Arctic Pechora Sea, and the company plans to put 28 more online. Meanwhile, a new \$27 billion liquid natural gas plant, based in the Arctic and jointly funded by Russian, Chinese and French energy companies, is moving gas south to Europe through a new 786-mile-long pipeline.

Once considered too remote and dangerous for commerce, the Arctic is about to get a lot more crowded. Norway is expanding its search for oil in the region and recently offered a new round of oil leases in the Barents Sea, farther north than ever before. Despite the challenges of High North drilling, an official from the Norwegian oil and gas association tells *Newsweek* the breakeven cost will be \$45 a barrel. So even

BY BOB REISS





ICE BREAKER
CHALLENGE: U.S.
and European oil
companies have
long fantasized
about tapping the
Arctic's abundant
oil and gas reserves.

if global oil prices remain low, Norway's newest Arctic operation will quickly become profitable.

Profit has been less of an incentive thus far in the U.S., which has been much more cautious in the far north. President Barack Obama blocked most federal Arctic offshore areas from exploration in December, citing environmental concerns. Those include possible oil spills, which could damage or scare away marine life, some of which local Iñupiat Eskimos rely on for food and cultural identity. Now Donald Trump might try to reverse Obama's executive action, and Alaska's congressional delegation is lobbying for

him to open up more of the American Arctic—on- and offshore—to oil exploration.

Regardless of what Trump decides, some fear that competition between the great powers over trade routes and natural resources could get out of hand. Already, there are signs of tension. In May 2015, Russia unleashed 250 aircraft and 12,000 troops in a massive Arctic war games exercise in response to a smaller NATO maneuver. Last month, the U.S., a NATO member, stationed 300 Marines in Norway full time.

Meanwhile, Russia has changed its war game strategy. It no longer offers advance warning

REALLY COLD WAR: In part because of the region's natural resources, Russian military planners have identified the Arctic as a likely place for future conflicts.

+



when an exercise is planned—something that has unsettled its NATO neighbors up north. One of those countries, Denmark, has, like Russia, claimed the North Pole sea bottom under the Law of the Sea treaty. Canada, which is also in NATO, will file a claim by 2018, which is expected to include the North Pole too. If that happens, it is possible that all three countries will be able to prove the area is an extension of their continental shelves. The treaty, formally known as the Third United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, calls for the owners of legitimate overlapping claims to work out boundaries among themselves.

Which is perhaps one reason why Russian military planners have identified the Arctic as a likely place for future wars. "The Russian political and military leadership has systematically argued that there will be an acute shortage of energy resources worldwide, which may lead to conflict, and that the West, led by the United States, may attempt to seize Russia's oil and gas," says Katarzyna Zysk, an associate professor at the Norwegian Defence University College who specializes in Russian defense policy.

But not everyone believes a clash is inevitable. American and European diplomats routinely assure reporters that Russia and the other Arctic nations—the U.S., Canada, Norway, Sweden, Finland, Denmark and Iceland—continue to cooperate in the High North, especially on shipping and search and rescue efforts, despite tensions elsewhere. They also proudly point to an agreement signed in 2010 by Norway and Russia that peacefully resolved a maritime Arctic border dispute in the Barents Sea.

Security, however, may be a different matter as the region opens. Bobo Lo, an independent Arctic expert and the author of *Russia and the New World Disorder*, is cynical. "Once the Arctic becomes high profile, you'll have friendship break down."

If that happens, the Kremlin is far better prepared for outright conflict or plain old competition. Moscow not only has more than 40 icebreakers; it's in the middle of the largest Arctic military push since the Cold War. Russia has more Arctic bases than any country and is building more, including 13 new airfields and 10 Arctic-based air defense radar stations scheduled to be in operation by the end of this year. Russia has also formed a new brigade, trained in Arctic warfare, and is constructing 16 deepwater ports and 13 airfields in the region. The way Lo sees it, the Russian response to NATO war games is "You think you can frighten us, buster? We can always escalate."



The U.S., however, is poorly prepared to react to any sort of challenge or emergency—and it's still unclear how the Trump administration will change America's Arctic policy. Washington has only two icebreakers, both old, and only one can break through heavy ice. The country has no concrete plans to build more. "We need Arctic ports," says the State Department's top Arctic diplomat, David Balton. "We're not prepared in terms of oil spill response or pollution. In the event of a shipping mishap, we have very little search and rescue assets nearby."

The U.S. is also the only Arctic nation that hasn't ratified the Law of the Sea treaty. It has no representatives on the scientific committee analyzing claims and no way to make its own, even though, under treaty rules, the U.S. could possibly enlarge its undersea territory off the coast of Alaska by an area about the size of California.


If the treaty process were a baseball game, "the U.S. wouldn't be on the field, in the stands, even in the parking lot," Coast Guard Rear

"WE ASSURE YOU, THERE IS OIL THERE. AND THE OIL IS RECOVERABLE."

Admiral Gene Brooks told me in 2010. Seven years later, there has been little progress toward ratifying the treaty, despite support from both the Obama and George W. Bush administrations, the Navy, environmental groups, shippers and oil companies. A small group of Republicans keep blocking it in the Senate because they don't want to participate in any international agreement they believe will give foreign bodies power over American policy.

The irony is that the current lack of U.S. attention to the rapidly changing Arctic will provide foreign competitors with just that, by default. ■

BOB REISS is the author of *The Eskimo and the Oil Man* and, under the pseudonym of James Abel, the novel *Vector*, due out this summer.



IS THE WORLD RUNNING OUT OF TIME TO CONTAIN NORTH KOREA'S KIM JONG UN?

BY BILL POWELL

NEWSWEEK 22 MARCH 17, 2017





THE FOOTAGE IS GRAINY but

chilling. On the morning of February 13, a portly, middle-aged man ambles through the main departure terminal at the airport in Kuala Lumpur, the capital of Malaysia, preparing to board a flight to Macau. Kim Jong Nam was the eldest son of the late North Korean dictator Kim

Jong Il, and it is said that for a brief time he wanted that son to be his successor, but Kim Jong Nam turned out to be flighty, a playboy and gambler who once infuriated his father by trying to get into Japan on a phony passport to visit Tokyo Disneyland. (He was 30 at the time.) With his father's permission, he chose to live in Macau, the former Portuguese colony that is now the gambling capital of China—under the watchful eye of Chinese security.

Kim Jong Nam was the half-brother of the current North Korean ruler, Kim Jong Un, but the two likely never met. Kim Jong Nam was 13 years older, and his mother—a North Korean actress—had an affair with Kim Jong Il. "They were raised in separate households," says a former South Korean intelligence analyst, "and [Kim Jong Nam] was shipped off to Switzerland for school as a boy. No way they ever met."

Which makes what happened on February 13 that much more confounding and disturbing. Since about 7:30 that morning, four North Korean men and two women—a Vietnamese and an Indonesian-had been waiting in an airport restaurant. Shortly before 9 a.m., as Kim Jong Nam walked through the terminal, he was approached by the women; one walked in front of him, as if to distract him, while the other slipped behind

him. Both quickly touched his face and then hurried off. A few minutes later, he realized something was wrong and approached a police officer. He was escorted to an ambulance, but within 20 minutes he was dead, the victim of an assassination using VX—a lethal nerve agent listed by the United Nations as a chemical weapon of mass destruction.

Malaysian police arrested the Vietnamese woman, Doan Thi Huong, two days later. In the early hours of the next morning, police stormed a hotel where the Indonesian woman, Siti Aisyah, was holed up. She claimed she had been paid the equivalent of \$120 to take part in a "prank" TV show.

Both women have been charged with murder. One North Korean man was also arrested, but Malaysian authorities later allowed him to go back to North Korea because they lacked sufficient evidence to prosecute. The other North Korean men involved appear to have escaped.

The assassination—unquestionably ordered by Kim Jong Un, current and former South Korean and U.S. officials say was stunning in its brazenness: out in the open, easy for security cameras to capture and then display to the world. But this killing was just the beginning of a lethal spree. Two weeks after the carefully rehearsed and choreographed murder in Malaysia, South Korean intelligence revealed in a closed briefing for legislators in Seoul that Kim Jong Un had placed under house arrest his minister of state security, a hugely powerful position in North Korea, and had five of his deputies executed with anti-aircraft guns.

During the U.S. presidential transition, Barack Obama had warned Donald Trump that North Korea would be the most pressing foreign policy problem he would face. During Obama's tenure, North Korea conducted underground tests of nuclear bombs and worked to increase the range of its ballistic missiles. Pyongyang's goal is plain: a nuclear warhead on an intercontinental ballistic missile capable of reaching the United States. If it does that, "it will be a game changer," says Victor Cha, a former National Security Council (NSC) adviser under George W. Bush who is now at the Center for Strategic and International Studies, in Washington.

Trump was sobered by Obama's prediction and has requested personal briefings from the intelligence community on North Korea, according to officials who worked on the transition. Just a day before the assassination of Kim, as Trump was having dinner with Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe, North Korea tested another missile—a solid-fuel rocket, which analysts say involves a much smaller fleet of support vehicles and can be prepared for launch much more

> quickly than previous missiles Pyongyang has tested. That makes it more difficult for the U.S. or its allies to make a pre-emptive strike as North Korean missiles are being prepared for launch.

> The latest missile test and the Kim U.S., its allies and even China-North Korea's sole ally. That Pyongyang is

> assassination jolted the Trump administration and vividly illustrate why North Korea is such a vexing problem for the

seeking both an arsenal of nuclear weapons and the longrange missiles to deliver them is not news. What's dismaying is that despite steadily ratcheted-up sanctions against it, the North Korean regime seems to be making steady, incremental progress. The intelligence communities in Washington and Seoul believe North Korea is at least four years away from being able to miniaturize a warhead and place it on a long-range delivery system. Shortly before the election, Richard Haass, president of the Council on Foreign Relations, published an op-ed outlining a scenario in which Pyongyang becomes a crisis in 2020. And former NSC official Cha believes Pyongyang might have long-range nuclear capability in two years. Whatever the timing, at some point, unless something changes, a nuclear crisis is coming.

The recent assassination makes this nuclear race even



PYONGYANG'S goal is *plain:* A NUCLEAR

warhead on an ICBM

capable of reaching the

UNITED STATES.



PRANK CALL: Huong, top-middle, and the other assailant, Aisyah, claim they thought the assassination was merely a TV prank. Kim Jong Nam, below, who can be seen on airport security footage seeking help minutes after the lethal nerve agent VX was rubbed on his face, may have been seen by North Korean dictator Kim Jong Un as a threat to his dynastic rule.





ROCKET'S RED GLARE: North Korea hands say Kim Jong Un's goal is to put a nuclear warhead on an intercontinental ballistic missile capable of reaching the United States. Intelligence experts in D.C. and Seoul believe he is at least four years away from that goal, but some estimates put the time frame at just two years.

more chilling because it underscores how unpredictable and unrestrained North Korea can be. That aligns with the portrayal of North Korea and its leaders in some of the press and in pop culture (think of how Kim Jong Il was portrayed in *Team America: World Police*, or Kim Jong Un in the more recent movie, 2014's *The Interview*): They're whack-jobs, willing to do pretty much anything at any time.

The predominant view in the foreign policy and military establishments in Washington, Seoul and Tokyo is a little more sober: Most believe Kim simply wants to guarantee that he will stay in power—and keep power within the Kim bloodline. His father believed that having a nuclear arsenal was the ultimate insurance policy, and he clearly agrees. He knows no one wants to mess with a nuclear-armed North Korea. But this desire for self-preservation also means, according to this view, that Pyongyang understands that the country would be obliterated if it ever attacked Washington's allies in East Asia or the U.S. homeland.

This was the message that new Defense Secretary James Mattis underscored on his first trip abroad, to Seoul and Tokyo, because candidate Trump had made comments that unnerved both of those U.S. allies. (At one point, the Republican candidate said maybe they both could go nuclear.) So in Seoul Mattis delivered a message publicly to all, Pyongyang very much included: Any North Korean attack on a U.S. ally would be met with "an overwhelming response."

But the audacious assassination in Kuala Lumpur has shaken those who believe Kim's instinct for self-preservation will make his behavior abroad somewhat rational. It also coincided with a North Korean policy review the Trump administration had just commenced, intensifying an already heightened sense of concern among the president's people. "You can't help but think, Jesus, maybe [Kim] is crazy," says a Trump transition adviser.

The assassination triggered an intense hunt for a motive: Why would Kim use a weaponized nerve agent in one of Southeast Asia's largest airports to kill a half-brother who hadn't lived in North Korea for years and whom he didn't even know?

There is a possible cause: Over the years, Kim Jong Nam had occasionally criticized how North Korea is run, saying it should follow in China's footsteps and loosen up its economy so its citizens can have better lives. Any criticism of the top leader is forbidden in North Korea, and for it to come from someone who shares the Kim bloodline with Kim Jong Un could not have pleased the young dictator. There have also been reports in the South Korean press that Kim Joo Il, a North Korean defector in London who started a group called the International North Korean Association for Human Rights and Democracy, met with Kim Jong Nam in China in 2014 and had been in contact with him since then. Kim Joo Il urged him to become the leader of a government in exile, but Kim Jong Nam turned him down. The defector says Kim Jong Nam opposed hereditary leadership, whether it was in Pyongyang or in exile. Besides, Kim Jong Nam allegedly told Kim Joo Il he wasn't interested in politics, and from what's known about his sybaritic lifestyle in Macau, that was clearly true.

Were these faint whispers of dynastic challenge enough to prompt Kim Jong Un to sign his half-brother's death warrant? One former South Korean intelligence analyst believes it's plausible, but current intelligence and defense officials in Seoul are skeptical. "It sounds like a defector trying to gin up publicity for his group," says an intelligence analyst, speaking about Kim Joo Il. And a former Obama administration defense official captures the unending frustration of trying to figure out North Korea: "Anyone who says they know what the motivation was is kidding you."

The more pressing question in Washington—as well as in Beijing, Tokyo and Seoul—is, What now? On March 1, press reports indicated that as part of its North Korean policy review, the Trump administration had put "all options" on the table—including a military response to Pyongyang's latest provocations. Trump had previously vowed in a tweet to prevent Pyongyang from ever developing a nuclear weapon that could reach the U.S.—"It won't happen"—so the reports seemed plausible. But just a day later, the administration was downplaying the notion that it was focused on a more muscular response to North Korea. In a policy review, it said, all options are, by definition, always on the table.

One option Trump is considering: expand on the Obama administration's efforts to disrupt North Korean missile tests

using cyberwarfare. A March 4 report in *The New York Times* says Obama's Pentagon ramped up such activity aggressively starting in 2014—and had some success in disrupting missile tests. Skeptics of the program, however, say cyber alone probably isn't enough to derail Pyongyang's program. They note that by the end of February there had been three successful launches in the last eight months. And on March 6, North Korea tested four

intermediate-range missiles—a show of force apparently timed to coincide with long-planned U.S.-South Korean military exercises, which had just begun.

Former officials who've worked on North Korea policy almost uniformly agree with a former member of Obama's Defense Department, who says, "There are options when dealing with North Korea, just not really good ones." In the wake of the VX attack on Kim Jong Nam, it's almost certain the U.S. will place North Korea back on the State Department's State Sponsors of Terrorism list. (The Bush administration had taken it off in hopes of cutting a nuclear deal in 2008.) But as Bruce Klingner, a former North Korea analyst at the CIA who's now at the Heritage Foundation, says, that's mainly part of a "political, naming and shaming policy." It is unclear that Kim would care.

Trump is also considering ways to help U.S. allies in the region bolster their ability to assist the U.S. in interdicting illicit shipments to Pyongyang. As a Council of Foreign Relations report last fall said, North Korea's skill in evading sanctions and moving forward with its missile program in the face of existing sanctions and interdiction efforts worries the U.S. and its allies in the region. It's urgent to figure out ways to make interdiction efforts in the region more effective, says one Trump adviser, in order to slow down North Korea's progress.

It's also almost certain the U.S. will seek to ratchet up

international sanctions. Analysts say a likely target is so-called secondary sanctions on the array of Chinese front companies that Pyongyang uses to launder money and import illicit goods for its missile program.

But here, as always, the going is likely to get rough. Would the Chinese, Kim's patron, enforce tightened sanctions that target Chinese companies doing business with North Korean companies? Some note that in the wake of the Kim Jong Nam assassination, Beijing announced a cessation of coal imports from North Korea—a key economic lifeline for the Kim regime. But as usual with Beijing's policy toward North Korea, it's not clear how long the coal ban will be in place or what the effects will be. Pyongyang exported a record amount of coal to China in 2016 and doesn't necessarily need to export more in the near term. Beijing has a coal glut. Whether the ban continues beyond the first quarter will be the true measure of Beijing's seriousness here.

Cautious optimists say Beijing could go along with the sanctions on Chinese companies doing business on the border with North Korea because not many of them are


important, and state-owned, companies. China's former foreign minister, Yang Jiechi, was recently in Washington pushing for a summit between Trump and China's president, Xi Jinping. And while some in Trump's orbit are skeptical of the early-summit idea, the sense of crisis regarding North Korea makes it more likely that such a meeting will take place.

Analysts on both sides of the Pacific

say there's another thing Washington and its allies may have going for them as they urge a tougher line on Pyongyang. Xi is said to have little respect for Kim Jong Un, believing him to be unqualified to run North Korea. The supreme leader had his uncle Jang Song Thaek killed in late 2013; he was one of the closest North Korean officials to the Chinese leadership. Jang also kept an eye out for Kim Jong Nam, as, allegedly, did the Chinese state security ministry. (Although, as we've seen, not particularly well.) The murder of Kim, who spent much of his time in China over the past decade, was an affront to Beijing. The Chinese will not be happy. The U.S. must now figure out just how unhappy they are.


As the NSC's Cha and others say, a North Korea with the long-range capability to deliver nukes is in sight. That will enable Pyongyang to be more provocative toward Seoul and Tokyo, perhaps launching small-scale attacks and then daring them or the U.S. to hit back: We've got nukes in our pocket, and we can deliver them anywhere—want to play? This prospect makes the central issue when dealing with Kim Jong Un—is he rational or not?—obviously more urgent. At some point, the prospect of a pre-emptive strike by the U.S., and all the risks that would entail, could be on the table.


This is why Obama flagged North Korea for Trump. And Trump, by all accounts, got the message. What he doesn't have—because no one does—is an attractive way to avoid the disaster now unfolding on the Korean Peninsula.



GOOD ONES.







By Alexander Nazaryan

The year is 1940. You are in a '37 Buick, driving west on the Dixie Overland Highway. You plan to take it all the way to California, but as things stand, you might not even make it to the Texas border. For you are black, and you are deep in Alabama, and night is coming.

This is the land of strange fruit: Elizabeth Lawrence, an elderly black woman who'd chastised white children for throwing rocks at her, lynched in 1933; Otis Parham, 16, set upon by a mob that couldn't find the perpetrator of an alleged attack on a white man in 1934. They killed Parham instead and threw his body into a ditch. You don't have to know the names of Alabama's recently murdered to feel the presence of their ghosts in the roadside thickets of longleaf pine.

With the day's light faltering, you pull over and retrieve The Negro Motorist Green Book from your Roadmaster's glove box. It is 48 pages of practical scripture, offering safe passage through the United States—where you can sleep, eat and fill your gas tank. The 1940 edition of the Green Book offered several options for safe harbor in central Alabama from the Ku Klux Klan, not to mention less deadly manifestations of hatred. Some of these are hotels that will allow black guests, like the Fraternal in Birmingham. Others are private homes, such as that of Mrs. G.W. Baugh, at 2526 12th Street in Tuscaloosa (private homes are almost always listed under the name of a female host). The Green Book also lists a few restaurants, clubs, garages and beauty salons. In Augusta, Georgia, you are welcome at Bollinger's liquor store—but nowhere else.

The number of listings will grow, especially after a brief hiatus in publication during World War II, as more and more people write in with suggestions, crowdsourcing a compendium of black-friendly sites across the nation. In 1957, North Dakota would be the last state in the continental United States covered by the *Green Book*. In 1964, Hawaii became the 50th state in the guide, which that year also featured entries for Europe, Africa and Latin America.

Thus what began in 1936 as a barebones aggregation of New York-area advertisements would eventually create what the historian Jennifer Reut calls an "invisible map" of America. The guide's creator, Victor Hugo Green, had recognized that such a map was necessary. But he also hoped that his work would

eventually be obviated by social progress. Later editions of the *Green Book* contained an introduction with this optimistic passage:

There will be a day sometime in the near future when this guide will not have to be published. That is when we as a race will have equal opportunities and privileges in the United States. It will be a great day for us to suspend this publication for then we can go wherever we please, and without embarrassment.

The *Green Book* did, in fact, cease publication in 1967, three years after the passage of the Civil Rights Act. But equality legalized wasn't equality realized. A 2012 survey found that 40 percent of waiters polled in North Carolina admitted to discriminating against black customers. Earlier this winter, a Quality Inn in Maryland was sued because black guests alleged "hotel workers demanded that black guests show their meal tickets to get breakfast while ignoring white and Asian guests."

As for that ancient sin of driving while black? It remains, ameliorated only slightly by time. "My dad told me when I was driving to be extra careful," a



An out-of-date listing—a motel that welcomed blacks suddenly shuttering—could spell doom for a traveler stranded in the thick of Mississippi.

black administrator at Pennsylvania State University told the BBC last year. "It goes back to how officers will look at you as an African-American driving."

National statistics regarding the perils of Driving While Black are frustratingly elusive, but those that do exist all point to a significantly higher rate of police stops for blacks than any other ethnic group. Sometimes, those stops turn deadly, as in the cases of Philando Castile, Samuel DuBose, Walter Scott and Sandra Bland, all of whom died as a result of traffic stops.

The *Green Book* is obsolete. Its lessons are not.

HARLEM ON THE PRAIRIE

ON AN EXCEPTIONALLY cold morning this winter, I walked into an International House of Pancakes on 135th Street in Harlem. I'm a fan of IHOP's powdered sugar crepes, but that wasn't why I'd trooped uptown. I'd come to see what remained of Smalls Paradise, which occupied the ground floor of this decorous terra-cotta building from 1925 until 1986. More than a music venue, Smalls Paradise was central to African-American culture in the 20th century. Malcolm X worked there as a waiter in 1943: "No Negro place of business had ever impressed me so much," he wrote in his autobiography

of first entering Smalls. Fats Waller and Ray Charles performed there. It was also a site of racial mixing unique to the Harlem Renaissance: The early civil rights leader W.E.B. DuBois, for example, was feted at Smalls on his 83rd birthday by Paul Robeson and Albert Einstein.

In later years, the club was owned by basketball great Wilt Chamberlain, but he shuttered it in 1986, as the neighborhood was pummeled by poverty, drugs and neglect. The IHOP opened in 2004, when Harlem's fortunes were ascendant once again. It is supposedly one of the most popular IHOPs in the United States, but it is otherwise no different than its 1,650 peers. There is no sign, inside or out, that this was ever anything but a pancake restaurant.

After a few minutes of waiting, I watched as a diminutive, well-dressed woman walked into the restaurant. Candacy Taylor was not there to eat sugary crepes either. Taylor is trying to catalogue every *Green Book* site across the United States. She wants to make that invisible map visible again.


Smalls Paradise was such a site, one of hundreds in Harlem. The windows of the IHOP looked out at a

SOUTHERN DISCOMFORT: The *Green Book*, first published in 1936, grew each year in a primitive form or crowd-sourcing, as travelers submitted new discoveries or reported that establishments listed had closed.

shabby apartment building from which a faded marquis for the Hotel Fane extended like a vestigial limb. The Hotel Fane advertised in the *Green Book*, touting its presence in "the Heart of Harlem," as well as both hot and cold water in the rooms. Also in the *Green Book* was the desegregated YMCA on 135th Street—"the living room of the Harlem Renaissance," as it was known—where Malcolm X lived for a time. Taylor estimates that there may be as many as 700 *Green Book* sites in New York City, some of them famous, like the Savoy Ballroom, but most having long faded into oblivion: a millinery on Manhattan Avenue named Beulah's, a tavern called the Colonial Krazy House, on what I imagine was a stately stretch of Bradhurst Avenue in Harlem.

The *Green Book* created what Taylor calls an "overground railroad," used by the progeny of those who may have relied on that other, more famous railroad offering passage out of slavery. The Underground Railroad promised freedom; the *Green Book* promised something just as fundamentally American: leisure.

Taylor has spent the last several years photographing *Green Book* locations for her website while planning a much larger project she hopes will grant the *Green Book* the cultural prominence it deserves. Her task is made difficult by the fact that each edition of the *Green Book*





was often significantly different from those before and after, depending on the shifting landscape of prejudice in each state. An out-of-date listing—a motel that welcomed blacks suddenly shuttering—could spell doom for a traveler stranded in the thick of Mississippi.

Taylor went through all 22 editions of the guide to create a list of 4,964 sites-and she's gone through only about half of the nation. She believes that perhaps 25 percent remain standing in some form, like the IHOP in Harlem, while only about 5 percent operate as they did in Victor Green's time. A few are obvious and easy to find, like Clifton's Cafeteria in downtown Los Angeles, which recently reopened after a \$10 million renovation, once more serving all those who reach the western terminus of Route 66, though it's pay-asyou-wish policy hasn't survived into the 21st century. But some are even more invisible than they were on Green's "invisible map," retreating into historical obscurity. There is Murray's Dude Ranch, in the high desert of Southern California, notable for catering to an African-American clientele. Black cowboy films like Harlem on the Prairie were filmed there. Today, it is just an expanse of sagebrush.

Other *Green Book* stops live on in shabby anonymity.

MOTEL FIX: The Underground Railroad promised freedom; the *Green Book* offered something just as fundamentally American: leisure.

The Hayes Motel, in the historically African-American section of South Central Los Angeles, opened in 1947, 18 years before an incident of police brutality led nearby Watts to erupt in fiery frustration against the city's reactionary leaders. The 1992 riots, in response to the acquittal of the four police officers who'd beaten motorist Rodney King, began a few blocks away. Somehow, the Hayes Motel remained in operation, but the turbulent times took their toll. When Taylor visited with a reporter for the *Los Angeles Times*, a telling sign greeted potential guests: "No drugs. No Prostitution. No Loitering. No Trespassing."

Taylor—who is writing a book on the *Green Book*, is in talks with the Smithsonian Institution Traveling Exhibition Service and is creating an interactive map with partners that may include Harvard, the New York Public Library and Google—isn't waiting for someone to invest \$10 million in the Hayes Motel. But she believes it has a story worth commemorating, as do all its fellow *Green Book* survivors. She hopes to reclaim a forgotten chapter of African-American history, partly because it is *our* history and does not

deserve oblivion any more than Millard Fillmore's log cabin, but also because there were things we should have learned then but did not.


"This is a cautionary tale," she says. "This is still with us."

'TRAVEL IS FATAL TO PREJUDICE'

VICTOR HUGO GREEN was born in New York City in 1892. That year, there were 161 lynchings of African-Americans across the United States, an inglorious number never surpassed. Green grew up in New Jersey across the river from New York City and worked as a letter carrier. In 1918, he married Alma Duke, and they moved to Harlem.

The *Green Book* was born in part because of Green's marriage to Duke, a native of Richmond. "With Green's wife being from Virginia, he decided to make trips less humiliating and reached out to fellow mailmen all over the country," *Green Book* historian Calvin Alexander Ramsey told *The New York Times* in 2010. Ramsey added that Green had a friend who told him Jewish travelers, themselves often victims of discrimination, had guidebooks to make trips through hostile territory safer.

Today, any edition of the *Green Book* is a prized rarity. The Smithsonian National Museum of African American History and Culture recently bought a 1941 edition at auction for \$22,500, or 90,000 times more than it originally cost: i.e., a quarter. The original *Green Brook*, from 1936, is the most elusive of all, with no known extant copies or even images of that edition.



That second issue of Green's guide was only 16 pages long and little more than a collection of advertisements for New York-area hotels and restaurants. The book's introduction is printed on the front cover: "Let's all get together and make Motoring better." Motoring was becoming central to the American way of life when Green embarked on his project in 1936. The Triborough Bridge opened in New York that summer, the Bay Bridge in San Francisco that fall. Both were explicitly designed for vehicular traffic, funneling the residents of these large, coastal cities into the interior of the country. Highways began to stitch together distant parts of the country—Route 66, the "Mother Road" of automotive travel, opened in 1926. That same year, Route 50 traced a path from Maryland to California.

Gretchen Sorin, a historian of African-American travel who directs the Cooperstown Graduate Program of museum studies at the State University of

There were things we should have learned then but did not.

New York College at Oneonta in upstate New York, says African-Americans back then were especially invested in automobile culture. She asked if I'd ever heard the stereotype of the young black male who lives with his mother but sports a flashy Cadillac with gleaming rims. I answered that I had, recalling Chris Rock's joke from a 2004 comedy special—"Maybe if we didn't spend all our money on rims, we might have some to invest"—which suddenly seemed just a little less funny. Sorin says this stereotype was rooted in the cruel reality of housing discrimination. Unable to buy real estate, many African-American families made an automobile their biggest purchase.

There was also safety in size, as well as speed. "It was harder to turn over a big car," Sorin says. "If you had a car that had a lot of power, you could get away." In 1955, the Reverend Moses Wright testified in a Mississippi courtroom against the two white men who'd murdered his grand-nephew, Emmett Till. Fearing for his own life, Wright fled in a '46 Ford sedan, in which he slept that first night. He later sold the car to buy a train ticket to Chicago, but without recourse to a vehicle, Wright would have also been lynched.

For middle-class African-Americans, travel down the nation's new roads and highways could serve as proof they were no different from their white counterparts. They would be, in a sense, ambulatory examples of

SOUTHERN EXPOSURE: Green started his guide because he had to regularly drive from Harlem to Richmond, Virginia, to visit in-laws, and he was determined to make the trip less humiliating.

black progress. Some editions of the *Green Book* featured a quote from Mark Twain: "Travel is fatal to prejudice."

The *Green Book* was always somewhat coy about its purpose, maybe because that purpose was so obvious, or maybe because it was so monstrous, it was better relegated to the margins. The guide was generally free of politics or commentary of any kind. In the 1947 edition, there is a listing of "Negro colleges," as well as an advertorial apparently reprinted from *The New York Times* that alludes to the participation of African-American soldiers in World War II: "The Negro...shared the mud, the danger, the sweat and the tears. Now he has the right to continue his interrupted education if he wants to do so."

But even such tame expressions of race recognition are rare. The goal is pleasure, not enlightenment. The ads show graceful hotels and suggest sumptuous meals. There isn't any fear-mongering, and warnings to stay *out* of places are similarly infrequent. Green's strongest language was reserved for road safety, in a recurring tongue-and-cheek item called "How to Keep From Growing Old" (e.g., "Always speed"; "Never Stop, look or listen at railroad crossings"). Green wanted to treat his African-American readers like ordinary travelers, though of course the raison d'être of his book was that they were anything but. The first year in American history since 1882 without a recorded lynching of a black person was 1952. In a sense, Green's book was a hedge against racial progress.

A deal with the Esso chain of gas stations allowed Green to distribute his guide more widely, so that by 1962 there were 2 million copies in circulation, with an annual print run of about 15,000 (Taylor warns that both of these numbers may be inexact). In 1947, he started his own travel company, prosaically named the Vacation Reservation Bureau. Its offices were above Smalls Paradise, where there is today, looming



A COUNTRY DIVIDED AGAINST ITSELF: Green wanted to treat his African-American readers like ordinary travelers, though of course the raison d'etre of his book was that they were anything but.

over that IHOP, a charter school named after Thurgood Marshall, the civil rights lawyer and Supreme Court justice who did so much to dismantle segregation in America. Because of him and fellow activists and jurists, the work Green did in that second-floor office eventually became unnecessary.

"I think what's exciting about the *Green Book* is that it literally did save lives," Taylor says. "But then there was this other side where it just gave black people the freedom to experience America like everybody else."

'AMERICAN CARNAGE'

I FIRST MET Taylor in Los Angeles, two days after Donald Trump was elected president of the United States. That night, she was giving a talk on her project at the Petersen Automotive Museum. An exhibition hall of priceless Bugattis filled with a crowd that seemed hearteningly—if superficially—diverse.

Taylor likes to tell a story about driving with her mother through Houston in 1978. By the side of the

Jewish travelers had their own guides to make trips through hostile territory safer.

road, a chain gang was working. A question bothered Taylor, which she posed to her mother: If slavery was over, then why were all the men in the chain gang black?

"She had no answer, or maybe she just didn't know how to explain institutional racism to a 7-year-old," Taylor wrote in The Atlantic last year. "Either way, it was painfully obvious to me that there was a problem. I've been questioning the existence of racial equality ever since." Taylor calls herself a "cultural documentarian," which means she writes—books on coffee shop waitresses and a guide to Route 66-but also takes photographs, as she has been doing for her Green Book project, and creates multimedia exhibitions, like the one she did on ethnic beauty salons. While researching the Route 66 guide, Taylor noticed most representations of travelers on the Mother Road were of "the lily-white suburban family" packed into an Airstream trailer. Black travelers didn't seem to exist. "I knew there were all these narratives that were missing in that story," she says.

As we watched the Petersen exhibition hall fill up, I mentioned the astonishing outcome of the election. Taylor is an intense, graceful woman who has the confident manner of a college professor and doesn't seem to enjoy small talk. She countered that the outcome wasn't astonishing to her. She'd spent a portion of the previous two years driving across the countered that the



UPTOWN FUNK YOU UP: The music venue Smalls Paradise was central to African-American culture in the 20th century and a site of racial mixing unique to the Harlem Renaissance.

try, systematically trying to track down every single building from the *Green Book* that was still standing: every private house that welcomed travelers of color, every roadside diner, every gas station. Los Angeles, where she was living at the time, had 224 sites.

Between Clifton's and Smalls Paradise, there was an archipelago of safe sites across the continent, and these presented the greater challenge. Such establishments were often located in marginal areas that have only further deteriorated in the last five decades, whether because of white flight, freeway construction, "urban redevelopment" or, more likely, some noxious combination of those factors. Searching for the past, she also saw the present. "You don't think you're in America," she says of visiting the rougher sections of Chicago, where black culture had once thrived. "I would be in tears." Though she says she's no "shrinking flower," Taylor started to carry a stun gun after she was nearly assaulted by a homeless woman on Skid Row in Los Angeles. She saw, in other words, the "American carnage" Trump would correctly diagnose but incorrectly attribute. The greater fault is not with Mexico or China, but with ourselves.

BEAUTIFULLY AND TRAGICALLY

THE GREEN BOOK'S return to cultural relevance began a decade ago, with a 2007 traveling exhibit called the "The Dresser Trunk Project," which relied heavily on Green Book to highlight the travails of traveling in the era of Jim Crow. The following year, Americans elected their first African-American president, leading pundits to declare that the nation was entering a post-racial period of universal comity.

In the spring of 2009, a Tea Party protester who couldn't have been older than 14 carried a sign that explained the principles of "Obamanomics," which were "Monkey see, monkey spend." Many other signs hoisted by Tea Party protesters in their days of rightwing rage urged Obama to return to Africa, though he'd never lived there.

In 2010, as the brief post-racial moment waned, Calvin Alexander Ramsey published *Ruth and the Green Book*, a children's book about an African-American girl who travels with her parents from Chicago into the segregated South. She thinks, at first, that Jim Crow is a flesh-and-blood villain, only to quickly learn otherwise. The journey becomes easier—pleasant, even—after her father is advised by a bow-tied gas station operator on the Georgia border to buy a

Green Book. "It made me sad that some people were mean to Negroes," Ruth concludes. "But it helped to know that good black people all over the country had pitched in to help each other."

The *Green Book* became the object of widespread fascination in 2015, when the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture at the New York Public Library digitized its holdings and made them available online. Librarian Maira Liriano, who was responsible for that project, first heard about the *Green Book* in an NPR segment six years ago. Sometime after that, she was foraging in the Schomburg archives and discovered a copy of the guide. "Travel guides in general tend to be pretty rare," she tells me, and that is especially the case with one like the *Green Book* that were updated each year. Those who used it would discard old versions for each new one. "You didn't want to put yourself in danger by having something that was out of date."

Reut, the architectural historian, is working on a project called Mapping the *Green Book*, an online cartographic version of Taylor's work, while Sorin, the Cooperstown professor, is finishing a documentary with Ric Burns about the *Green Book* and driving while black. She says that while the *Green Book* highlights the struggles of African-Americans, it is also evidence of a broader integration of American society. The African-Americans who intrepidly set out with *Green Book* in glove compartment "laid the groundwork" for interracial couples, ethnic minorities and gays and lesbians who wanted the freedom already enjoyed by whites.

I first learned about the *Green Book* from 99% *Percent Invisible*, a popular podcast about American history and culture. The 20-minute program concluded with Taylor in the basement of the central branch of the Los Angeles Public Library, which has 11 copies of the *Green Book* in its collections. "They're just little jewels," Taylor says, holding one. "I mean, I just buzz with this kind of good energy."

I had read plenty about the *Green Book* before I got to see one. But I finally did at the Schomburg Center, where Taylor was a scholar-in-residence before taking a similar position at Harvard. Several librarians met us in a conference room; on a large table were several editions of the *Green Book*. They were small, and the smallness felt acutely poignant in that first moment of contact. I understood for the first time how fragile was this "invisible map" Green had stitched together, how vulnerable the people for whom it was meant.

Taylor and the librarians watched as I flipped through the books with what may have been slightly unprofessional glee. I'd handled rare books before, including a First Folio of William Shakespeare's plays that a Dartmouth librarian placed into my ungloved hands. I like rare books even more than I like IHOP's powdered crepes; standing before the Gutenberg Bible or John James Audubon's *Birds of America* is, for me, an



ancient sugar of which there can be no excess.

The *Green Book* isn't quite as rare or valuable as those volumes, yet there was a familiarity to the booklets that multiplied their power. I wasn't just holding a rare book; I was holding a rare book that was American, beautifully and tragically so. It wasn't anywhere near

"What's exciting about the *Green Book* is that it literally did save lives."

as hefty as a modern-day *Lonely Planet*. Despite some fine cover art, it lacked the modernist design elements *Mad Men* taught us to prize. The *Green Book* couldn't afford any such flourishes, neither literally nor in the grander sense, because its most basic mission was to ensure survival.



#LAUGHINGWHILEBLACK

TRAVEL HAS been the site of many conflicts, because travel forces people to interact in unpredictable ways. This is sometimes its great pleasure and often its greatest danger. As long dormant racial animosities have returned with grotesque force, Victor Green's wish for his guide to become obsolete has attained a new melancholy quality.

In August 2015, 11 members of the Sistahs on the Reading Edge book club got on the Napa Valley Wine Train. All but one of the women were black. The tourist train, which starts in the city of Napa and wends through the fertile hills of wine country, is popular with day-trippers who want to take in the views and cabernets without having to bother with driving.

Before the train left, a Wine Train employee asked the women to keep their voices down, according to an account later provided by Lisa Johnson, a member of the book club. The women were asked to quiet down again but continued in what was reportedly a boisterous but harmless conversation between old friends.

FREEDOM OF THE OPEN ROAD: Since they were often not allowed to buy real estate, many black families made an automobile their biggest purchase.

The crew apparently felt otherwise. In St. Helena, they asked all of the women to leave the train. The experience, which Johnson later described, left her shaken: "That was the most humiliating and embarrassing thing I've ever experienced in my life. To be paraded through all those cars, all those passengers looking at us, wondering what did we do that was so bad that we were being escorted off that train." She posted on Twitter with the hashtag #LaughingWhileBlack.

The story became a national outrage, in part because it seemed so obvious that being a little loud, a little tipsy, was the whole point of the wine train. The women sued and eventually won an undisclosed settlement, but the episode was a reminder that while the indignities of traveling while black may not be what they were in 1940, indignities remain. In fact, after a period of quiescence, they seem to have gotten worse. The migration of many travel services to the internet has allowed for new subtler forms of discrimination to flourish. Last fall, a study by the National Bureau of Economic Research found that some drivers for Uber and Lyft engage in discrimination. Customers with African-sounding names, for one, waited longer for a ride than those with "white" names.

Writing of the *Green Book's* "renaissance" in the age of #BlackLivesMatter, Makiba Foster, assistant chief librarian at Schomburg, argued that "in order to fully grasp and understand the importance of this 80-year-old publication, we must also truly grapple with how Black motorists are still being treated by the police and their fellow citizens."

Discrimination is especially pronounced on Airbnb, the peer-to-peer vacation rental service. Last year, an African-American man named Rohan Gilkes wrote on Medium about trying to rent a house in a picturesque part of Idaho where a white friend lived. He tried to book several houses, only to find owners either unresponsive or claiming, suddenly, that they wouldn't be away during the time of his planned visit.

In Atlanta, a black couple that rented an Airbnb house found themselves confronted by a host of police, neighbors having apparently figured the renters were thieves. That couple started a travel site specifically targeted to black travelers: Noirbnb.

Victor Green's book remains relevant even if the last drop of beer dribbled out of a tap at the Kentucky bar in Pasadena, California, decades ago. That's because the *Green Book* is not so much an object as a spirit, a version of Manifest Destiny that has nothing to do with triumphalist conquest. The spirit is intrepid but also hardened by experience, skeptical about Alabama but hopeful of New Mexico, optimistic that somewhere in the distance, in the darkness, a hot meal and cool sheets await.





WHEN DONALD TRUMP BECAME THE 45TH PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, MOST GERMANS SEEMED TO BE IN MOURNING. THE COUNTRY'S VICE CHANCELLOR, SIGMAR GABRIEL, WARNED OF "A ROUGH RIDE" AHEAD; A WEEK LATER, CHANCELLOR ANGELA MERKEL LECTURED TRUMP ON THE GENEVA CONVENTIONS, TELLING HIM THAT THE FIGHT AGAINST MILITANTS' ATTACKS DOES NOT JUSTIFY BANNING REFUGEES FLEEING WAR AND PERSECUTION.

But in the dawn of the Trump era, one political party formerly on the fringes of German society has been glowing: the anti-immigrant, anti-EU Alternative für Deutschland (AfD).

Four days after Trump's inauguration in January, I met AfD's party leader, Frauke Petry, who represents the district of Saxony, at her office in Leipzig, where she compared Trump's victory to Britain's vote to leave the EU. Both events, she said, inspire parties like hers, which are critical of the EU because they show that the increasing consolidation of power in Brussels is not inevitable. "It shows us at least that change is possible," she said.

While other prominent right-wing leaders, like Marine Le Pen of France's National Front and Geert Wilders of the Dutch Freedom Party, have received

more attention for their fiery brand of politics, the AfD's Petry may, in some ways, be the most consequential of all the nativist European politicians.

The AfD has gained political influence extraordinarily quickly. Just four years after its founding, the onceperipheral party has become a major force, having won seats in 10 of Germany's 16 state parliaments. It succeeded in some surprising places, defeating Merkel's party, the Christian Democrats (CDU), in the chancellor's home state of Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania, and in September 2016, it won 14 percent of the vote in liberal Berlin, the high-

PETRY HAS
BECOME
THE FACE OF
TRUMPISM
IN EUROPE'S
MOST
POWERFUL
NATION.

est showing there for a far-right party since World War II.

Now the AfD, which critics have lambasted for peddling xenophobia, seems poised to do well in Germany's federal elections in September, which could give it seats in the country's national parliament, the Bundestag. for the first time. Polls and political analysts predict the CDU will win the largest share of votes, which means Merkel will likely remain chancellor, despite a challenge from the left. But if the AfD's support holds, it would become the third largest party in the Bundestag, and the government's most prominent opposition party, making it the most successful nationalist party since the Nazis.

As Merkel has become Germany's—and the continent's—most powerful defender of liberal Western democracy, Petry has become the face of Trumpism in Europe's most power-

ful nation. Her party has campaigned to reverse Merkel's open-border immigration policies and her tough stance on Russian aggression, and it seeks to limit Germany's role in the EU. The AfD's rapid rise in a country whose dark history has made it so wary of nationalism speaks to the magnitude of the frustration and xenophobia that have brought Trump—and others like him—into the Western political mainstream.

The End of National Guilt?

Unlike France's National Front and Austria's Freedom Party, both founded decades ago, the AfD is relatively new. Established in February 2013 by economists critical of the expensive bailouts of smaller EU members like Greece and Spain, the

AfD failed to enter the German parliament that year. But in 2015, a refugee crisis brought hundreds of thousands of mostly Muslim asylum seekers fleeing war, violence and persecution in Syria, Iraq, Afghanistan and elsewhere to the shores of Europe.

This spurred anti-immigrant and anti-Muslim sentiment—especially in Germany, which absorbed 1 million asylum seekers in 2015, more than all other European nations combined. The decision to do so was Merkel's; that same year, her government announced that all Syrian refugees were welcome to stay in Germany and that the country would place no limit on the number

of asylum seekers permitted to apply for refuge. While many Germans saw this open-border policy as a courageous, humanitarian response to the crisis, it generated resentment among some of their fellow citizens who felt it was a threat to national security and national identity.

Tapping into this resentment helped Petry lead AfD's transformation into an anti-immigrant party when she took charge in July 2015. Under her leadership, Bernd Lucke, the party's founder quit over concerns the AfD was becoming "Islamophobic and

xenophobic." Recently, the AfD has won many voters who used to support the National Democratic Party, a neo-Nazi party that all of the country's 16 state parliaments recently tried to ban. (Germany's supreme court overruled that ban, arguing that while the party's goals are unconstitutional, it is too weak to achieve them.) But the AfD's message of reclaiming national pride and identity has attracted more than just fringe followers. Recent polls have put support for the AfD between 10 and 15 percent of voters—a result that could allow it to enter the Bundestag in September.

Compared with Trump's remarkable victory in November, those poll numbers may seem modest. But the AfD's rising popularity alarms many people

"IT'S SIMPLY
A LIE BY THE
GOVERNMENT
THAT THESE
MIGRANTS
WILL FIT INTO
OUR SOCIETY."

in Germany, where guilt over the country's Nazi past has long played a significant role in its psyche and politics. Some AfD leaders have fueled the anxiety with their comments. One party official, Wolfgang Gedeon, has called Holocaust deniers "dissidents" and lamented that one of the nation's largest memorials, in Berlin, is dedicated not to national heroes but to the Holocaust, which he has called "misdeeds." In January, another AfD official, Bjoern Hoecke, stirred national outrage by calling that same memorial "a monument of shame."

Fear of a Muslim Planet

When I met Petry at her Leipzig office on January 24, it quickly became clear why she was chosen to lead the AfD and how, under her stewardship, a nationalist party has managed to gain such a large following: In person, she comes across as disarmingly normal.

Arriving just a few minutes late, the 41-year-old pregnant mother apologized profusely, explaining that she had to drive her kids to school. "The roads were so icy," she said, adding that one of her young sons didn't want to leave the house.

Pretty and petite with a pixie haircut, wearing jeans and a navy blazer, Petry spoke in lengthy,

POLITISCH INKORREKT: Petry campaigned in 2016 elections on a platform against the "Islamization" of Germany.



didactic sentences that seemed more suited to a university professor than the leader of a populist party. She seems to have little in common with the more controversial characters within the AfD, especially those associated with anti-Semitism. As we talked, Petry was quick to criticize Hoecke's call for a "180-degree turn" in how Germans perceive their history, by which he means that Germany should move on from what they believe is a kind of guilt driving political decisions, like

accepting refugees. (That's a sentiment that several party members told me they agree with, even if they wished Hoecke had phrased it differently.)

Despite Petry's measured approach to nationalism, her beliefs on multiculturalism are no different from the most extreme on the right. Petry's mistrust of Islam fuels her political passions: Citing Sharia and reports of sexual assaults committed by refugees in Germany, she argued that Muslims are a threat to a free, Western society. Muslim immigrants come here "with attitudes that are so way out of our sort of common behavior and European attitudes," she said. "It's simply a lie by the government that these migrants will fit into our society."

In the 2016 state-level elections, Petry campaigned on a platform against the "Islamization" of Germany, which she said should include changes to family policy. She argues the government should offer things like tax incentives and cheaper child care to encourage Germans to have more children to preserve national identity, rather than relying on immigration to fill the gaps left by the country's low birth rate. (She is expecting her fifth child, the first with her second husband, Marcus Pretzell, who represents the party in the European Parliament in Brussels.) Asked if she hopes to be Germany's chancellor, Petry dismissed the suggestion, but she is quick to say that Germany should be led by someone with children-Merkel has nonebecause, she noted, "it makes you look beyond vour own lifetime."

She also criticizes Germany for applying different rules to asylum seekers than to its citizens. "The government basically allows them to live in a different world," she said, arguing that statistics ("if you're able to read them") show that violent crimes have increased in Germany because of refugees. (They don't. Federal statistics show that refugees have not increased crime and are no more likely to commit offenses than native Germans.)

If that doesn't sound Trumpian enough, Petry seems to echo the New York billionaire on Russia too. She seeks closer ties with Moscow and hopes to speak to President Vladimir Putin soon. (Members

"THE WHOLE
OF EUROPE
NEEDS GOOD
RELATIONS
WITH RUSSIA."

of her party, she adds, have already met with Kremlin officials.) "The whole of Europe needs good relations with Russia," she said. "This doesn't mean that we favor Russian hegemony over Europe in any way. I mean, coming from...[East Germany], we had enough of Russian domination. But yes, with Trump many new perspectives appear possible."

While many leaders have expressed concern over Trump's statements about lessening U.S. engagement

overseas, Petry welcomes the prospect. "American involvement in European issues since the First World War has led to a situation where many European states relied on America instead of taking their own responsibility," she said. Referring to American troops who have been stationed in Germany since World War II, she added, "Just as the Russians had to leave Germany in the '90s, I think it's time that the Americans leave as well."

'We Can't Allow This to Happen'

Before helping create the AfD, Petry was a chemist who founded Purinvent, a company that produces environmentally friendly polyurethane products (the company's website now explicitly disassociates Purinvent from the AfD). She used to vote CDU, Germany's most conservative party until Merkel moved it to the center, and even considered becoming a party member back in the early 2000s. "The CDU used to stand for family values, conservative policy and a rational economic policy,

SHOW OF HANDS: Berliners react as right-wing activists march in May 2016 demanding "Merkel must go."





and they've given up on many of those ideas," she said. "They used to be the party that guaranteed security and safety for its citizens, but we see with Merkel that she cannot secure borders anymore. She doesn't want to."

Like Petry, a majority of AfD voters used to be supporters of the CDU, while others felt there was no political home for their conservative views, according to Kai Arzheimer, a political science professor at the University of Mainz and an expert in far-right voting patterns. Arzheimer's analysis shows that most AfD voters are under 65, and many are young with diverse educational and socioeconomic backgrounds.

AfD voters also skew male, and support for the party is far higher in the former East Germany than it is in what was once West Germany, owing partly to AfD's

Germany, owing partly to AfD's anti-establishment brand, said Werner Patzelt, a political scientist at Dresden's Technical University.

In Germany, AfD's attitudes toward Islam also seem surprisingly mainstream: A poll published last year by German company Infratest Dimap showed that 60 percent of Germans surveyed believe that Islam does not belong in German culture; another 2016 poll, from the Friedrich Ebert Foundation, found

DAMPENED HOPES: A couple from Deir ez-Zor, Syria, rides a bus after registering as asylum seekers in Herford, Germany. that 25 percent believe Jews are "exploiting the past of the Third Reich." These views may explain why AfD support is growing among the broader German population. "People are very watchful when it comes to the radical right in Germany," said Arzheimer. "I think it is misleading to brand the AfD as a party of neo-Nazis."

For the liberal majority, the rise of this new movement has been alarming. Hajo Funke, a professor at Berlin's Free University and the author of a book about the AfD, *On Angry Citizens and Arsonists*, said Petry is merely the acceptable face of an otherwise ugly party, arguing that she hasn't done enough to distance the AfD from anti-Semitism and Islamophobia. "It's the classic right-wing populist concept of 'We represent the people, We

have the solutions,' using the scapegoat of Islam," said Funke. "It's a kind of second wave of anti-Semitism that has re-emerged."

Richard Weiss, a member of the Green Party in Munich, agrees, saying that Germans, of all people, should be standing up to anti-Muslim rhetoric. "We have to wake up because this is a really dangerous situation if we give the Muslims the same stamp that we gave to the Jews. It's no different," he tells *Newsweek*. "We know better than anyone in the world that we can't allow this to happen."

"I THINK IT IS MISLEADING TO BRAND THE AFD AS A PARTY OF NEO-NAZIS."







THE RE-TIRING SORT

A new eco-friendly rubber could make the tire industry cleaner and less costly

WHEEL SAVINGS: Each tire produced today uses seven gallons of oil; two for manufacturing and five for feedstock. A new process would replace the oil used for feedstock with renewable sources.



SINCE 2001, when the gene of the compound needed to create rubber was identified, there has been a lot of pressure on scientists and the tire industry to get some traction in the search for eco-friendly rubber. Recently, researchers at the University of Minnesota discovered a method, published in the journal ACS Catalysis, that could make the industry greener by using carbon from natural sources such as grass, trees and corn instead of fossil fuels like petroleum. The process yields isoprene, the main compound needed to create rubber. "We have a really good chance of making this a viable process," says Marc Hillmyer, director of the university's Center for Sustainable Polymers. "It could also get the cost [of tire production] down a little bit."

Paul Dauenhauer, lead researcher and an associate professor of chemical engineering and materials science at the university, says that with 10 researchers on the project, eight from Minnesota and two from the University of Massachusetts Amherst, collaboration was a key factor in the success of the project. He likens the partnership

to a "bank heist," since the expertise of each researcher made the project run more efficiently.

Dauenhauer now wants to do more tests in the hopes of learning how the newly discovered catalyst class works, and warns that making the process work for the tire industry might take some time. "You have to scale this up using large facilities, and the journey from discovery to large scale could take years."

The Rubber Manufacturers Association says 70 percent of all rubber is synthetic and that 90 percent of natural rubber comes from Asia, where rainforests are being cut down to make room for rubber trees. Producing natural rubber is also laborious: latex is collected from a tree, processed, refined and coagulated. Rubber is then teased out of the latex. "We try to start with a biological source and economically compete with the fossil fuel source," says Frank Bates, a professor of chemical engineering and material science and a member of the executive committee at Minnesota's Center for Sustainable Polymers. "That's what's called good chemical engineering."



DISRUPTIVE

RUNNING RED LIGHTS

Uber might be heading for the most spectacular car crash in history

JUST A year ago, Uber reigned as the tech industry's awe-inspiring, all-powerful Wizard of Oz. But lately, the curtain is being pulled back to reveal a guy who's more like an angry drunk frantically yanking levers while taking roundhouse swings at the Tin Man and propositioning Dorothy.

Uber is in a whole lot of bad right now, and there's growing concern that it's about to melt down like a haywire nuclear reactor, which would leave a crater in the heart of Silicon Valley.

Uber gave us on-demand transportation. Countless people all over the world love this new kind of service. The category is going to get bigger. But it's possible it will do that without Uber.

At the heart of Uber's trouble is its culture, which seems to have been born from a one-night stand between John Belushi's crude Bluto in Animal House and Ayn Rand's hypercompetitive Hank Rearden. That culture got put on public display in February, when former engineering employee Susan Fowler published a blog calling out Uber's rotten treatment of women and its general dysfunction. The place is so cutthroat, she wrote, "it seemed like every manager was fighting their peers or attempting to undermine their direct supervisor so that they could have their direct supervisor's job."

If anyone thought Fowler was a lone whiner, a few days later tech industry legend Mitch Kapor and his wife, Freada Kapor, an expert in workplace mores, published an open letter to Uber's board. The Kapors were early investors in the company, and they were unhappy about Uber's tepid response to Fowler's post and fed up with Uber's "destructive culture," to use their term. "We are speaking up now because we are disappointed and frustrated; we feel we have hit a dead end in trying to influence the company quietly from the inside," they wrote.

A week later, while riding in an Uber, CEO Travis Kalanick was captured on video berating the driver, who dared to complain about cuts to his income because Uber keeps reducing fares. "I'm bankrupt because of you," the driver told Kalanick, who then erupted. After Bloomberg obtained and published the video, Kalanick found himself in the all-too-familiar position of publicly apologizing. He posted on Uber's site, "I must fundamentally change as a leader and grow up." Duh.

Negative publicity keeps battering Uber. It ran afoul of the protesters who flocked to airports after Donald Trump's travel ban, then had to fend off a #DeleteUber movement. (Some estimates say 200,000 people deleted the app in the days after the hashtag went viral.) About six months earlier, Uber took a \$3.5 billion investment from Saudi Arabia's Public Investment Fund, a move that made Uber look as if it was buddies with a government that won't let women drive and puts gay men in jail. One Uber investor said to *Fortune* about the deal, "It goes to the heart of who Travis is. He just doesn't give a shit about optics. Ever."

Now Uber is being painted as a technology thief by Google's parent, Alphabet. Last year, Uber bought a company called Otto for a reported \$680 million. Otto develops autonomous driving tech-

BY **KEVIN MANEY**@kmaney



DRIVER'S ED: Uber CEO Kalanick, who reportedly "doesn't give a shit about optics," has been pummeled in the past year for how his company is run and got schooled by one of his drivers in a leaked video.

nology. A bunch of people who work there came from Alphabet's autonomous car subsidiary, now called Waymo. Alphabet alleges that some of those people stole technical data from Waymo, and Alphabet is suing to stop Uber from using it. Uber has often stated that its future rests on having a fleet of self-driving cars—so it won't have to share revenue with those pesky drivers. If Alphabet wins its case, Uber would pretty much have to start building the technology all over again or pay a ton of money to buy someone else's.

While Uber is counting on a hazy future of self-driving cars, in the meantime it has to keep its 160,000 drivers happy, and they are not, as Kalanick's video encountered showed. Drivers want the Uber app to allow tips; Uber won't do it. Uber

SOME SPECULATE UBER MAY HAVE LOST \$3 BILLION LAST YEAR.

has fought court cases brought by U.S. drivers asking for employee benefits. It settled a suit for \$20 million for posting ads that were misleading about how much its drivers can earn. Rival Lyft has been running ads lampooning Uber's treatment of drivers, hoping to lure away Uber drivers—and convince conscientious riders they should prefer a company that treats its drivers better.



Strategically, Kalanick and his team seem guilty of constant overreach. Does anybody ever order a falafel from UberEats? Who at Uber thought it was a good idea to take on Seamless? Not only did Kalanick buy Otto to get into self-driving cars, but in February he hired a former NASA scientist to develop *flying* cars. Trump likes to say we always lose to China-well, Uber proved him right by going into China ill-prepared. Last summer, Uber cut a deal with China's Uber clone, Didi Chuxing, to leave China in exchange for 17.5 percent of the Chinese company and a \$1 billion investment by Didi. Is that setting up Didi to beat Uber worldwide? Trump will have a seizure if U.S. riders no longer say they're going to "Uber" somewhere and instead say they're going to "Didi."

And then there is Uber's financial picture. The company is private, but some numbers have been leaked. Bloomberg reported that Uber lost \$800 million in the third quarter of 2016. Some speculate Uber may have lost \$3 billion last year. Uber is a costly business to run. To serve more customers, it needs to bring in and pay more drivers, so the company can't take advantage of economies of scale. It has little pricing power because it still faces competition from Lyft and taxis and other newcomers, including Maven, which is a unit of General Motors. To have the cash to fund operations and expansion, Uber has brought in round after round of private investment, pumping up the valuation of the company to nearly \$70 billion. That would make Uber worth more than GM. Raise your hand if you think that makes sense.

The sky-high valuation may haunt Uber. Kalanick has refused to go public, even though the company, at eight years old, is in the sweet spot where many tech companies do an initial public offering. He makes his stance sound like a maverick's declaration of independence from public markets, but whispers now are that Uber's finances might not justify an IPO at a valuation high enough to make current investors happy. If that's true, Uber is in a hole. It won't be able to raise money from anyone who has passed sixth-grade math.

If Uber stalls, it isn't going to be saved by a loyal consumer fan base. There is no stickiness to Uber. It has no frequent-rider program. It has no social component. It prevents users from form-

ing bonds with drivers. No one gets a heightened sense of self by identifying as an Uber rider versus some competitor. We'll stick with Uber as long as it continues to get us where we want to go at a price we like. Someone else comes along with a better service or lower price, we'll use it.

It's hard to imagine the devastation that would come with an Uber collapse. Its dozens of investors range from venture capital companies to individuals like Kapor and companies such as Microsoft and Citigroup. The company employs 11,000 people (excluding drivers), mostly around Silicon Valley, and is in the process of spending \$250 million on new offices. The blow to Silicon Valley's ego might be up there with the pain the Democratic Party has been feeling lately.

Uber has done amazing work in its short life. It created, defined and has so far dominated a new market of on-demand transportation, changing the way we do things today and profoundly changing the way we think about the future of urban transportation. It is a historically important company. No one will ever take that away from Kalanick and his crew. But Uber has proved to be a flawed company. To find a business tragedy that's an appropriate warning for Uber, go back

IT'S HARD TO IMAGINE THE DEVASTATION THAT WOULD COME WITH AN UBER COLLAPSE.

to Drexel Burnham Lambert in the 1980s, when Kalanick was in grade school. (He is, believe it or not, 40 years old.) Drexel, led by investing legend Mike Milken, defined and dominated junk bonds as a category of finance. This changed Wall Street and business forever. Drexel was a superstar. But the company had a flawed culture of insane pressure to perform, so employees took sketchy risks that ultimately led to criminal charges. Within a couple of years, the company fell from the pinnacle of Wall Street power to filing for bankruptcy. Milken went to prison for securities fraud.

The category Drexel created lives on. Junk bonds are a \$1 trillion market, without Drexel.

The Kapors are pushing Kalanick to reinvent Uber's culture. It would be awesome if Uber can fulfill its promise and stand next to companies like Apple and Amazon. But as Uber's bad days pile up, it often looks as if Kalanick has built a Drexel.

IGNORANCE
ISN'T BLISS:
Youth and young
adults are particularly vulnerable
to mental illness,
and most of those
affected aren't
being treated.



THEY KNOW WHY YOU'RE SAD...

MENTAL ILLNESS CAUSES MORE MISERY

THAN POVERTY OR UNEMPLOYMENT

WHAT MAKES people miserable? Traditionally, economists have blamed unemployment and poverty as the biggest drivers of despair. But new research suggests this analysis is flawed. "We keep on finding in every country that the mental health problems are the biggest causes of misery," says Richard Layard from the London School of Economics, who along with colleague Sarah Flèche analyzed happiness and satisfaction surveys from around the world. In a paper published in January in the journal Kyklos, Flèche and Lavard found that the correlation between mental illness and misery was strong even when poverty and unemployment were controlled. In other words, it isn't just that people have mental health troubles only because they face deprivation; the mental problems do a great deal to cause unhappiness whether or not somebody has a job and makes a decent living, Lavard says.

The finding may seem obvi-

ous, but nonphysical ailments were ignored in the past as economists tended to focus on external factors, and it was generally thought that not much could be done to improve mental health, says David Clark, a professor of experimental psychology at the University of Oxford. This finding is consistent with work done by Clark and Layard in Britain showing that mental illness takes a bigger toll on productivity than physical health problems. They calculated that if these mental health problems were treated, gross domestic product (GDP) would increase by 4 percent.

Over the past decade, the scholars, as well as others, have lobbied the government to treat mental health as aggressively as physical problems, and they have succeeded in prodding British authorities to start a program that now provides 550,000 people a year with evidence-based psychological and psychiatric treatments, Clark says.

Youth and young adults are particularly vulnerable to mental illness. "We have a success-oriented culture [that] puts a lot of strain on young people," Layard says. At least 10 percent of those ages 5 to 18 in the United States and Britain are diagnosable with mental illness, he says. But around threefourths of these people are not being treated.

"It is quite a scandal, I think, that in most Western countries and the U.S., the majority of people just don't get access to the latest evidence-based psychological treatments," Clark says.

This doesn't necessarily suggest that drugs should be more widely prescribed. In many cases, psychological and behavioral treatments work as well or better, without side effects, and people prefer psychological therapies over drugs by a factor of 3-to-1, Layard says. However, many more people get drugs and no psychological intervention. One reason for this is the pressure brought to bear by pharmaceutical companies.

Regardless of how they are treated, mental health problems are handled best when caught early, and they need to be treated as quickly and aggressively as physical ailments, Clark says. The United States and Britain have made strides in this area in the past two decades, and now each spend about 1 percent of their GDP on mental health treatment, though there is room for improvement.

BY **DOUG MAIN ৺** @Douglas Main



TOXIC UNDERGROUND

The EPA is allowing contaminated groundwater to go untreated—with potentially dangerous consequences

THE REMAINS of the George Air Force Base on the edge of California's Mojave Desert are little more than a dusty sprawl of squat buildings, their roofs riddled with holes, their hinged windows flapping open and shut in the dry wind.

The Clinton administration decommissioned the base in 1992, but this crumbling ghost town

carries a worrisome legacy—a stew of toxic waste that has been the target of a federal cleanup, which is still under way after two decades of work and more than \$100 million in spending.

At George, as at many other military bases, chemicals and jet fuel were leaked or haphazardly disposed of for years, polluting hundreds of acres

BY

DAN ROSS

Maidanross

TED S WARREN/AP

HASTE AND
WASTE: The Hanford Site in Washington, the location
of the nation's
biggest nuclear
cleanup. Part of the
problem is being
handled with a
process that could
jeopardize drinking
water supplies.

of groundwater. Trichloroethylene, a cancercausing solvent, has contaminated two aquifers underneath the base and threatens a third aquifer, as well as the Mojave River. It has also tainted monitoring wells at a nearby wastewater reclamation plant and forced workers there to drink bottled water as a precaution.

Yet even as contaminants continue to spread, the Air Force wants to finish part of the cleanup with a laissez-faire strategy, raising alarm at the local water board. The approach—adopted by environmental agencies at toxic cleanup sites across the country—leaves contaminated groundwater to remain untreated and instead slowly diminish over time. It's a strategy that saves money for polluters but could jeopardize drinking water supplies and cost taxpayers dearly.

The strategy is called monitored natural attenuation, or MNA. With little public awareness or debate, it has become increasingly widespread since the 1990s as a way to cope with the enormous cost of some groundwater cleanups.

Despite the bureaucratic name, MNA basically involves keeping a watchful eye while natural processes purge groundwater of chemical pollution. According to Environmental Protection Agency guidelines, it's an acceptable approach under some circumstances. That includes when contaminants are expected to degrade over a period of years rather than centuries, and when there is

no risk of polluted water seeping into, and spoiling, fresh water supplies. MNA can be effective with contaminants such as petroleum hydrocarbons that are eaten by microbes in the soil and groundwater.

But some analysts say regulators overseeing federal and state cleanups have at times approved MNA in violation of EPA guidelines. Because it is usually much simpler and cheaper than active cleanup methods—such as pumping water out of the ground and treating it—they say polluters increasingly push MNA at contaminated sites,

often with too little resistance from regulators. "I have a very sour attitude towards this concept," says Robert Alvarez, a senior scholar with the Institute for Policy Studies, a think tank based in Washington, D.C. "It's a very big concern, especially for very contaminated sites."

There are obvious risks in leaving toxic contaminants in groundwater. If the pollutants aren't correctly monitored, they could continue to spread and contaminate nearby aquifers. And with large areas of the U.S. already facing dwindling water resources because of drought



and population growth, particularly in Western states, any widespread reliance on MNA threatens to eliminate more water from public use. Meanwhile, if the land atop polluted groundwater is subsequently sold or if those responsible for the pollution go out of business, taxpayers could be left holding the bill.

Alvarez is particularly critical of the use of MNA at radioactive waste sites, where experts estimate that certain kinds of radioactive waste will take millions of years to naturally degrade to safe levels. The most dramatic example is the Hanford Site in southern Washington state, the location of the nation's biggest nuclear cleanup, where part of the problem is being handled with MNA.

Despite multiple requests over a period of months, the EPA refused to grant *Newsweek* an interview about the use of MNA. The agency, in one of the responses it provided via email, said that under its Superfund toxic waste site program, "cleanup remedies are selected to be protective

CHEMICALS AND JET FUEL WERE LEAKED OR HAPHAZARDLY DISPOSED OF FOR YEARS, POLLUTING HUNDREDS OF ACRES OF GROUNDWATER.

of human health and the environment. EPA has developed numerous technical and policy guidance documents that present a logical technical approach...for assessing MNA's effectiveness."

There appears to be no comprehensive, reliable estimate of the number of places around the country where MNA has been employed, but according to data from the EPA, the method is in use at 85 of 141 U.S. military locations classified as Superfund sites. That includes Camp Lejeune in North Carolina, where from the 1950s into the 1980s hundreds of thousands of people may



have been exposed to tainted drinking water. A separate assessment shows that in 2011, the most recent year tracked, 31 percent of EPA groundwater cleanup decisions involved some use of MNA.

The issue does not appear to be on the radar of most major environmental organizations, but it has come under attack by some regional officials and residents near polluted sites. In California, for example, water-quality authorities and the Air Force have been locked in a protracted battle over pollution at the George Air Force Base. The Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board, the agency overseeing the cleanup, claims the contaminants won't degrade to safe levels for up to 500 years if MNA is applied as proposed by the Air Force. This is happening in a region where water is already scarce and where the nearby towns of Adelanto, Victorville and Hesperia continue to expand.

"The Mojave Desert is the Mojave Desert," says Patty Kouyoumdjian, executive officer of the Lahontan water board. "Their only source of drinking water is groundwater."

The water board is pushing for a return to active treatment, such as pumping and cleansing the water and then returning it to the ground. But the Air Force disputes the water board's dim assessment of MNA for the site. In an email, the Air Force said it remains "in discussions" with the agency to "reach a common understanding of the nature and extent of the contamination."

Such tensions are commonplace, but they raise a question: Why can't the EPA and state regulators just demand an active cleanup?

It largely comes down to money. The cleanup of Defense and Energy Department sites depends on congressional appropriations, and the amount of available funding is limited. That narrows options and leads regulators to compromise.

When the polluter is a small private company, money is often a problem too. Philip Chandler, a senior geologist with the California Department of Toxic Substances Control, says "cash-strapped" companies commonly struggle with the costs of installing, maintaining and sampling monitoring wells. Because of that, Chandler—speaking for himself, not for his department—says he has come across cases

of regulators in his agency letting polluters get away with doing things like not putting in enough wells to adequately track the movement of polluted water. The department, in an email, said on its cleanups "a rigorous evaluation process is followed to ensure the most appropriate technology for the site is chosen." But Chandler says that doesn't always happen. Sometimes, he says, "we effectively look the other way on the basic requirements of MNA."

The Air Force, frustrated with escalating costs and the slow pace of cleanups at a number of bases, took the lead in studying whether nature could do the job more quickly and cheaply. Officials at an array of agencies, however, struggled to figure out how to regulate the strategy, prompting the EPA to issue an MNA directive in 1999.


That directive and the EPA's updated guidelines state that MNA shouldn't be applied when, among other things, the source of pollutants isn't yet under control, when the tainted groundwater still is spreading and when the contaminants won't break down to safe levels within a "reasonable"

MNA SAVES MONEY FOR POLLUTERS BUT COULD JEOPARDIZE DRINKING WATER SUPPLIES AND COST TAXPAYERS DEARLY.

period. "The notion of reasonable time frame has been such a big issue," says Peter Strauss, of PM Strauss & Associates, an environmental consulting firm. For many analysts, a rough estimate is 30 years, and for Strauss, MNA should work as quickly as proven active remedies: "Ideally, you should be looking to get as much done as possible without burdening future generations."

Many of the nation's most complex toxic cleanups are on the EPA's Superfund list. At some Superfund sites, critics say, MNA has been applied in circumstances that clearly violate the agency's guidelines. As an example, these critics point to Hill Air Force Base in northern Utah. It's the second largest U.S. Air Force base in size and personnel, and the site of a cleanup that has continued for about 20 years.

Perhaps the greatest concern among local residents and environmentalists is a contaminated area straddling the northern boundary of the base, uphill from an elementary school in



CESSPOOL? A pool at Camp Lejeune in North Carolina, a military base where many may have been exposed to tainted water.

the city of South Weber. It is polluted with an array of highly toxic contaminants, including arsenic and benzene.

For nearly 25 years, John Carter was employed as an environmental consultant by the South Weber Coalition, a local residents group. Carter says the Air Force has put out a "false image" of MNA as safe, despite the fact that contaminated water is essentially seeping downhill and underneath homes in the city. "And so, right away it does not meet the EPA's MNA criteria of no potential for movement of contamination," he says.

Worse still, says Carter, inadequate monitoring means the full reach of the contamination has never been accurately mapped. The result is that South Weber residents are at risk of toxic exposure to noxious gases that can seep into homes through the floor, often undetected, he adds.

Air Force officials say an estimated 5 to 10 percent of homes in a voluntary monitoring program had contaminants in their indoor air exceeding accepted levels from a contaminated sewer. The officials say the Air Force has put air cleanup systems in 123 homes to suck vapors from beneath their floors and that there is no "unacceptable risk of exposure" to residents. But many potentially at-risk residences have yet to be tested because the program is voluntary, says Brent Poll, a member of the South Weber Coalition. "The only thing you can say that's good about [MNA] is that it's very cheap," he says.

But in the long run, critics say, such projects could wind up being even more expensive if the contamination continues to spread. "If in 30 years you have to go in and say, 'Oh, Monitored Natural Attenuation isn't working,' who's paying for that?" says Strauss, the environmental consultant. "That's our children—it's a possible shifting of a tax burden to future generations."

This story was reported by FairWarning (FAIRWARNING.ORG), a nonprofit news organization based in Pasadena, California, that focuses on public health, consumer and environmental issues.

WBEKE

CULTURE, TRAVEL AND OTHER GOOD NEWS

THE PLACE TO BE

TEFAF, Maastricht Private art goes public in the Netherlands

HAT IF you could visit the Metropolitan Museum of Art or the Louvre and everything you saw was for sale? You can't, but you can come close. At the annual European Fine Art and Antiques Fair (TEFAF), held in the Dutch city of Maastricht this month, visitors have a chance to see—and buy—museum-quality masterpieces, from Rembrandt etchings and Vincent Van Gogh paintings to ancient Egyptian artifacts, jeweled Edwardian necklaces and antique suits of armor.

TEFAF has a reputation for material that's been scrutinized for excellence, authenticity and condition by a team of 209 experts; it's the most rigorous vetting process of any art fair in the world. Most of the items on show have, until now, been held in private collections. While some may find their way into museums after the fair, the majority will return to private hands and thus out of public sight.

Until then, the fair offers a rare chance to view real treasures. A 16th-century terra-cotta St. Anthony statue is being offered by the London-based fine art dealer Mullany for around €200,000 (\$213,000). Early examples of modern Dutch masters—like Van Gogh's 1882 New Church and Old Houses in the Hague, offered by Kunstgalerij Albricht at €2.5 million (\$2.7 million) or a 1908 Piet Mondrian Haystack being sold by Haboldt Pictura at €775,000 (\$824,000)—offer glimpses of the painters' youthful genius.

The fair isn't confined to art. Daniel Crouch's rare books stand has a Brexit theme. Jewelry lovers will want to see the rare Cartier art deco diamond bracelets at Epoque Fine Jewels. Children are likely to be fascinated by the €1.75 million (\$1.86 million) dollhouse at John Endlich Antiquairs, where 17th-century miniature silverware, master drawings and Kangxi porcelain enrich the lives of its fortunate doll family.

Such joys bring big crowds. If you go, avoid the weekends and be prepared for high prices. Still, the chance to experience these splendors is its own reward—and a priceless one at that.—ABIGAIL R. ESMAN

Maastricht Exhibition & Conference Center, the Netherlands, Mar. 10-19; TEFAF.COM

NICE WORK:
A visitor to the
European Fine
Art and Antiques
Fair enjoys The
Three Witches
by Johann
Heinrich Füssli. **NEWSWEEK** 55 MARCH 17, 2017



Lonely at the Top What's driving Marin Minamiya, Japan's youngest explorer?

SLAM DUNK:
Marin Minamiya,
photographed in
Tokyo in February,
six weeks before
beginning the
final leg of
the Explorers
Grand Slam.

in Tokyo's Shibuya district, 20-year-old university student Marin Minamiya is exactly what you'd expect of a fashionable young Japanese woman. Her hair is long and shiny. Her fingernails are painted a sparkly gold. She laughs easily and punctuates her conversation with breathless, OMG-style exclamations. What you don't expect is this: A few weeks later, she will be wrapped in up to seven layers of clothing, slogging through minus-70-degree temperatures, in an attempt to ski to the North Pole.

Minamiya-bubbly exterior, steely coreis one of Japan's youngest and most highprofile adventurers. During her teens, she quietly broke a string of records. At 18, she became the youngest woman ever to climb 8,163-meter (26,781-foot) Mount Manaslu in the Nepalese Himalayas. At 19, she was the youngest Japanese person, man or woman, to climb Mount Everest. When we meet, in February this year, she is in the middle of training for the North Pole trip, the final leg of her attempt at the famously grueling Explorers Grand Slam. To date completed by just 51 people worldwide, this demands that participants reach both poles and climb the tallest mountains on seven continents. If Minamiya reaches the Pole, she will be the youngest Asian to have completed the challenge.

Minamiya juggles time spent on expeditions—which are partly funded by a sponsorship deal

with clothing company Uniqlo—with studying international political economy at Waseda University in Tokyo. She tells me that in two days' time she will fly to the island of Hokkaido in northern Japan, and then on to the Alpine resort of Chamonix in France for final training.

She'll make the attempt on the North Pole in early April, as part of a small group—but exploring can be a lonely life. Minamiya is often not just the only woman in a group but also the youngest overall. Women can be competitive and men prejudiced: One male Japanese climber once said he was insulted just to be introduced to her.

"People are often really surprised when they learn about what I do," she says. "They say, 'Oh my gosh' and can't imagine me climbing a mountain, especially when I'm wearing my normal, everyday clothes. They ask me why I do it—and I want to tell them the reason, but it's not easy to say in one sentence."

Minamiya's longing to climb started as a way to connect with others. She was an only child, and her father's work as a financial trader meant the family was always on the move. At the age of 12, after time living in Malaysia, Shanghai and the Chinese city of Dalian, Minamiya moved with her family to Hong Kong, where she went to an English-speaking school for largely expatriate children. She had a difficult time there, she recalls: A culture of overreliance on technology meant there was very little human interaction. Every course was taught on laptops, and at lunchtime,

BY **DANIELLE DEMETRIOU ☞** @danielleinjapan


NEWSWEEK 57 MARCH, 17 2017

Minamiya ate on the first floor while FaceTiming with her best friend, who sat on the eighth.

Things at home weren't any better. Her father was rarely there, and her mother had stayed behind in Japan. Then, when she was 13, her teachers started taking groups of 50 students on trips to the mountainous interior of Lantau, Hong Kong's largest island. On the mountainside, the group had to collaborate, with the participants helping one another navigate across the unfamiliar landscape and coming together to plan the group meal. "For the first time, there was a human bond between us," she says.

Climbing also gave the adolescent Minamiya a sense of perspective, a view of the wider world that she found invaluable. When she reached her first mountaintop with her schoolmates, she looked east across the waters of the South China Sea to the "concrete jungle of Hong Kong." In that moment, she saw the stresses of her preteen life for what they were. "We thought, Oh my gosh, our existence is so tiny. All these daily issues don't mean a thing."

After that, Minamiya climbed dozens of mountains around Hong Kong before joining a friend and two teachers on a 14-day climb to the Annapurna base camp in Nepal. There—still only 13—she glimpsed Mount Everest looming over a valley and vowed to climb it one day. She then completed an arduous ascent in Argentina, funded by a benevolent stranger who sponsored her after reading an article in *Yomiuri Shimbun*, Japan's biggest national newspaper. With typical quiet determination, Minamiya had asked the paper to write about her.



Her parents divorced when she was 17; climbing high kept her grounded, giving her a muchneeded sense of control. "I knew the one thing that would get me back on track was climbing," she says. "It has always been like meditation for me. It's not only about healing—it's about self-empowerment and self-awareness. I just thought, 'I have to get out of here and find myself, through basing myself on a mountain.""

She experienced a different kind of grounding too. While she was descending Mount Amida in Nagano in March 2015, the snow beneath her crumbled, and she fell 250 meters (820 feet), head-first. That's nearly as bad as falling off the Eiffel Tower. "I really thought I was going to die,"

HIGH POWERED: Minamiya's selfie from the summit of Mount Everest, which she reached in May 2016.

ON THE MOUNTAIN, THE GROUP OF CHILDREN HAD TO WORK TOGETHER. "FOR THE FIRST TIME, THERE WAS A HUMAN BOND."

she says, but then adds, "When I was falling, I screamed and prayed to God, saying, 'I don't want to die yet, please help me." Right after her prayer, her crampons got caught in the snow, and she stopped falling. "I didn't believe in God before," she says, "but, actually, since then I do."

She spent the night in a snow hole she'd dug for herself, before an emergency search team in a helicopter rescued her. The accident made her vulnerable in a different way. She cried for days afterward in an empty house; her parents hadn't visited her in hospital. Yet she completed her record-breaking Mount Everest ascent just 14 months later. "The accident made me realize that people die extremely easily," she says. "That made me propel myself even further."

Where does a woman who's reached both ends of the earth propel herself next? Assuming she makes it back from the North Pole, Minamiya's next plan is to sail around the world—this time, she hopes, not alone. She's looking for a companion to sail with "who is definitely committed, has a dream of their own, is excited about life—and basically has the same goal as me, which is to help others reach their full potential." It doesn't seem like an accident that she's choosing an adventure that requires another person to come along for the ride. I hope she finds someone.

My Precious Auverture's founder Bibi van der Velden picks wearable works of art

The jewelry designer Bibi van der Velden had an international upbringing: Born in New York, and raised in the Netherlands and the British countryside, she trained as a sculptor in Florence, Amsterdam and The Hague. In 2005, with a sculptor's appreciation of the intricate techniques necessary to working with stone and precious metals, she began to make one-off

pieces of jewelry—pieces she called "wearable works of art."
Last year, she expanded, launching Auverture, an online portal selling fine jewelry by designer-makers from around the world—chosen, she tells me, for "the stories they tell through their designs." Here, she explains the stories behind three pieces she's currently stocking. —MAX FRASER

DIAMOND OCTOPUS EARRINGS

By Gaelle Khouri

"Gaelle is a rising talent in Lebanon. Her combination of materials—white diamonds and baroque pearls, with the tentacles made in 18-karat white and rose gold—works beautifully, and the mechanism is cleverly integrated into the design, with the head of the octopus locking around the ear." €31,280 (\$33,250)



OMBRÉ FOSSILIZED WALRUS NECKLACE

By Monique Pean

"Monique has a sustainable approach to jewelry-making, using only recycled gold and fossilized bones. Here, she's framed a slice of fossilized walrus ivory that's tens of thousands of years old and mottled with different colors—it's as if time has seeped into the material." €9,350 (\$9,926)

NILA RING

By Noor Fares

"Noor Fares's new collection is inspired by the kaleidoscope. Her designs are sharp and geometric, but still have a very direct link to nature: This ring could be a honeycomb, and any potential harshness is softened by the multiple colorings of the stones."

€5,044 (\$5,355)

Prices include tax in Europe and U.S.; AUVERTURE.COM





THE TASTER

Enigma, Barcelona

Spain's most anticipated restaurant of 2017 opened in January. The fifth project in five years from Albert Adrià—though less well-known than his brother Ferran, he contributed greatly to the gastronomic revolution that was elBulli—Enigma lives up to its name, cultivating a sense of mystery that makes it more an experience than a restaurant.

IN THE ROOM Make that rooms. Over the course of dinner, guests move through a series of spaces, each one showcasing a different aspect—or "enigma"—of the dining experience. Designed by Barcelona firm RCR Arquitectes, the gelid, silvery spaces feature ceilings that look like low-hanging fog. "Think Superman's ice cave," says El Periódico.

ON THE TABLE Diners are asked to refrain from publishing images, and details about the 40-plus courses—most of them small, vivid bites—are sparse. But in its awed list, 7Caníbales.com gives a sense of their impact and reach: "exotic liquid bonbons of mango and rum...strawberries transfigured by North Africa... spheres of parmesan immolated on a citrus fire." An intensely acidic cocktail "harmonizes," according to Gastroactitud.com, "with the oyster and diakon 'mirror,' a marvellous mouthful." For *El País*, the blini cannelloni with cheese, and the sea cucumber in pil pil sauce made from Iberico ham, are "splendid bites." Already, *El Periódico* has asked, "Who will be the first to say it? That thing about 'best restaurant in the world.

IN BRIEF elBulli 2017.
—LISA ABEND

■

€220 (\$233) per person; ENIGMACONCEPT.ES



COFFEE TABLE

Bright Spark

IN 1997, the American photographer Erik Madigan Heck was given a camera for his 14th birthday, by his mother, a painter. Every Sunday, she would take him driving through the glacial plains round Minneapolis and tell him to take pictures with his new toy. A decade later, in New York City, he launched Nomenus Quarterly, filling its pages with fashion photography that was vivid, poetic and determined. A decade later still, he is the subject of Old Future, a new 160-page book that documents the breadth and beauty of what is still a young career.

In Britain, Harper's Bazaar magazine has championed Heck's work for its literacy; in America, W and New York magazines have enjoyed his painterly way with portraits. Shoots for the designers Mary Katrantzou Junya Watanabe have benefited from his understanding of pattern, as well as his graphic ability to compose. All have profited from his unadulterated celebration of beauty and his distinctive planes of flat, singing color. Color as flat, in fact, as the landscapes of Minnesota; as glowing as a box of paints. —GEORGINA SAFE



ERIK MADIGAN HECK: OLD FUTURE Thames & Hudson, out now \$28 (\$34)





BEDSIDE TABLE

Viet Thanh Nguyen, author, sees something vital in Ralph Ellison's 'Invisible Man'

"I read Invisible Man by Ralph Ellison in college and was struck by its ambition in depicting African-American history through one person's experience. When it came to be time to write my novel The Sympathizer, about an everyman who stands in for the Vietnamese, I used it as a guide.

Invisible Man was written in 1952; it's about a young black man who doesn't understand who he is in a white society. Though the novel is about what it means to be black, it's also about how to find your identity and how to rise above various factions that want to determine your fate. It's

I FELT LIKE
I WAS A SPY
IN MY PARENTS'
HOUSE—
BECAUSE I WAS
AN AMERICAN.

about feeling invisible—as if people do not see you for who you are—and not recognizing yourself as a result. The Sympathizer is about a similar kind of person, who is invisible in the sense that he's a spy and always hiding, and therefore he can't recognize himself. It was an exaggeration of my experience growing up as a refugee in America. I felt like a spy in my parents' house because I was an American, but I felt like a spy in the U.S. because I was a refugee.

I grew up in San Jose, California, in three environments: a Vietnamese refugee community, an immigrant neighborhood and the elite, predominantly white, private schools I attended. I had an inarticulate sense that I did not belong in these places, but who I was, and what I wanted in life, I didn't know. I went to the University of California, Berkeley, which turned me into an intellectual and gave me the basis to be a writer: Invisible Man was one of many books that transformed me because I had never read anything like it.

In high school, I'd read James Joyce and William Faulkner and admired them, but I didn't think I could become a writer because of them. As a person of color.

I found common ground with Invisible Man. It was the part of my literary education that made me feel that I had a place in the literary universe, not just as a spectator but as a participant.


Ellison's presence is less visible in my new book, *The Refugees*, but some of the themes of

duality and dislocation that a black man feels in American society are present for refugees too. From Invisible Man, one can see that these matters are not new. That's depressing, but the positive aspect of knowing history is to show that we've overcome xenophobia in America before, through solidarity and legal action. Now, I think we are backsliding a bit, but the lesson in literature and history is that you can seek to transform society." -AS TOLD TO ELIZA GRAY N

The Refugees by Viet Thanh Nguyen is out now with Corsair at £13 (\$25).

KONG: SKULL ISLAND is reportedly a reboot of the original King Kong from 1933. At the same time, it features a ton of dinosaurs and prehistoric creepycrawlies in a not-so-subtle nod to Jurassic Park (1993). The presence of helicopters, napalm, psychedelic rock and two characters named Conrad and Marlow mean you might equally consider it a remix of Apocalypse Now (1979) or its source material, Heart of Darkness. I also caught trace elements of Flight of the Phoenix (2004) and Tora! Tora! *Tora!* (1970). The resulting film bears some relation to the menu at my local Chinese restaurant, which lists "soup with sweetcorn," "soup with noodles" and "soup with egg drop" before reaching-with an air of summary exasperation or exhaustion—"soup with everything." That's pretty much what we get here: soup with everything.

We start in Washington, D.C., where a bearish conspiracy nut (John Goodman, naturally) is petitioning his senator for money to explore an island in the South Pacific with his team of geologists. He is granted the protection of a full Army helicopter squadron, loaded to the gills with napalm and machine guns, led by an irascible lieutenant (Samuel L. Jackson) fresh from the fields of Vietnam. The whole movie is set in 1973, quite possibly because the



THE SCREENING ROOM

Beast of All Possible Worlds King Kong is back, but he's not happy. Should you be?

filmmakers judged it to be the one period so whacked out on drugs that nobody would raise any objection to the plot. Also along for the ride are a British Special Air Service officer and jungle survival expert (Tom Hiddleston) and a magazine photographer (Brie Larson). "Isn't this a little small for a *Time* photographer?" Hiddleston asks her. "How did British special forces get dragged into this?" Larson asks him. When

half your characters devote most of their screen time to exploring the motivations of the other half, you know something is amiss.

How difficult can it be to get people to go to explore an island? But then the film, which was directed by Jordan Vogt-Roberts from a script by Dan Gilroy and Max Borenstein, is driven less by curiosity about exploring the great unknown than by an urgent need to have everyone arrive at

the threshold of the great unknown, guns drawn, and start blasting. The film begins where most Kong movies end: with the giant ape swatting aircraft from the skies as if they were flies.

And so the team is stranded, as Goodman puts it, on Skull Island, "a place where myth and science meet." Or as I came to think of it, the Land Where Backstory Goes to Die. Everyone has to fight through great thickets of



ROAR DEAL: Kong is still struggling with his rage issues in the latest reboot of the original monster movie.

prehistory, and not just the dinosaurs. Equally Jurassic is the wild-eved, shaggy-bearded pilot (John C. Reilly) whom the team discovers in the jungle, where he's been lurking since World War II. Then there is Kong himself, orphaned at a young age after giant lizards eat his parents, engaged ever since in a prolonged but unsuccessful battle with his rage issues. What he should really do is book himself a ticket to New York, head for the Upper West Side and find himself a good therapist, but as its title suggests, Kong: Skull Island is as hung up on Kong's island as on the great ape himself. We get a full flora and fauna report, including ants, spiders, lizards and squid. It's like

a version of Superman that can't work up the puff to get out of Smallville.

The original was a fable about American colonialism, as well as a Beauty and the *Beast* love story about the ultimate romantic martyr, but Larson snatches just one brief, swoony moment with Kong. This is a combat picture, pure and simple, led from the front by Jackson, who glimpses his nemesis through great plumes of fire, clenches his teeth and vows, "This is one war we're not going to lose." The visuals have a high ick factor (intestines pulled through mouths, vomited skulls) but sometimes attain a nutty pop grandeur: I loved the sight of Hiddleston wearing a gas mask, using a samurai sword to slice his way through a flock of pterodactyls in a purple haze. Far out. Jimi Hendrix would dig it.

It's the usual story: a lopsided mixture of megablast effects and a script that hasn't worked out what opposable thumbs are for. "This is beyond us," says Reilly, stealing every scene in sight with daffy riffs about gods and monsters, like Dennis Hopper's gadfly photographer in *Apocalypse* Now. You'd say he was the comic relief, except Kong: Skull Island can't seem to manage seriousness in the first place. Quit monkeying around.—*TOM SHONE* №

Worldwide releases continue to Mar. 25; for dates see KONGSKULLISLANDMOVIE.COM

RADAR

Room Service

JARVIS COCKER stands in the living room of a north London apartment, vaguely waving his arms. "So if I'm coming in from the hotel corridor, the piano's kind of here," he says. "There's a big window there, a closet there, then you've got a long couch here. I can show you some pictures if you like?" Cocker, once frontman of the 1990s band Pulp, now a solo artist, radio presenter and British national treasure, is attempting to conjure for me the charms of Room 29 of the Chateau Marmont hotel in Los Angeles-a room where he once had the pleasure of staying and that has now inspired an album and a stage show, written with fellow musician Chilly Gonzales.

"A lot of hotels nowadays have an invented heritage, but the Marmont's is real," he says. "The stories that are on the record really did happen." Among the guests who stayed in Room 29—and whose experiences Gonzales and Cocker have turned into songs—were one of Mark Twain's daughters, the

California gangster Meyer "Mickey the Haberdasher" Cohen and the actress Jean Harlow, who spent a honeymoon there.

Cocker and Gonzales, old friends and collaborators, performed these songsfull of bellboys, room service and loneliness—as a work in progress in Hamburg, Germany, last vear. In the subsequent 12 months it has evolved into a fully fledged stage show, which begins touring Europe in March. "Audience members get given a key, and the stage is a re-creation of the hotel room," Cocker explains. "The idea is we're all in this room together." The show will vary from night to night, though always with elements of film. dance. music and narration. "But there's no script. It doesn't follow an actual narrative: it's not West Side Story," he says, smiling. "It's not a play, not a musical, not an opera. It's a stage show, and this record is the center of it."—LAURA BARTON №

Room 29 is out on Deutsche Grammophon Mar. 17; tour begins Kampnagel, Hamburg, Mar. 17-19.



PARTING SHOT

'Rogers & Hayward, Cannes' Edward Quinn



BY MATTHEW SWEET

@DrMatthewSweet

WILDEBEESTS HAVE the Serengeti. Film stars have Cannes. There's the Carlton Hotel for a water hole, the Boulevard de la Croisette for pursuit and an annual hunting season in May.

Edward Quinn, the man who took this shot, was the most charming predator. Leopard-lean, with a persuasive Dublin purr, he prowled the Riviera, taking liberties as well as pictures. He hid in Sophia Loren's bathroom: She lolled for him on the bed. He laid siege outside Kim Novak's door: She sent out for croissants, then let him watch her pack

a suitcase. His real talent, though, was for padding by unnoticed. This shot is from the festival of 1956—the year that Diana Dors materialized in turquoise fox fur and the Soviets detonated their first blond bombshell, Irina Skobtseva. The Carlton's

cutlery is clean, but it already looks like the end of the night. And Quinn has fixed upon his prey.

On the right, Susan Hayward, in town to receive an award for playing drunk in *I'll Cry Tomorrow*. On the left, Ginger Rogers, a star since the 1930s, struggling to maintain her magnitude. Rogers has been around the block a few times—backwards and in heels—but Hayward is the one who's tottering. As she exhales her cigarette smoke, she is a month away from divorcing her actor husband, who threatened her, threw her into the pool, drove her close to suicide and is, at this moment, losing a paternity suit against an extra in one of his wife's pictures.

What are these women discussing? Plenty. Rogers is preparing to separate from husband No. 4. Perhaps Quinn has caught her in the act of counting them on her fingers. He's caught something else too. Four years after this party, Federico Fellini's La Dolce Vita came to Cannes to win the Palme d'Or and introduced a new word to the language: paparazzo, after its ruthless young street snapper. It showed Quinn something he couldn't admire. He lost his taste for the chase. So did many of the stars. But here, in this photograph, everything seems right. Their presence, his presence, the viewer's implied presence. Inhabiting the night, natural as nature. №

WHAT ARE THESE WOMEN DISCUSSING? PLENTY

Silver gelatin print: 16 x 20 inches, epsilon1,550 (\$1,640) from **EDWARDQUINN.COM**

Why don't girls around the world<37k_girls under-18_married_daily> have equal access to education<63m_girls_aged:6-15 not_in_school>?

Why don't women have equal pay<equal-pay-act(1970)/equal_pay(2069)> and equal opportunity<unconscious_bias> in the workplace<7_female_CEOs@FTSE-100>?

Embedded values<established_societal norms> start before a girl<second-class> is even born<1.06_M/F_birth_ratio> and shape the world around her.

Join our campaign<gender_inequality>
<rewriting_the_code>





The one unmissable trendsetting show for the entire watch and jewellery industry, where all key players unite to unveil their latest creations and innovations. Be a part of this premier event and experience passion, precision and perfection in action.